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1 - Mission

The institution’s mission is clear and articulated publicly; it guides the institution’s operations.

1.A - Core Component 1.A

The institution’s mission is broadly understood within the institution and guides its operations.

1. The mission statement is developed through a process suited to the nature and culture of the institution and is adopted by the governing board.
2. The institution’s academic programs, student support services, and enrollment profile are consistent with its stated mission.
3. The institution’s planning and budgeting priorities align with and support the mission. (This sub-component may be addressed by reference to the response to Criterion 5.C.1.)

Argument

The Trustees and leadership of the College of Saint Benedict (CSB) regularly review the college's mission to ensure it remains relevant to its students and to the needs and expectations of the larger world. The CSB Board of Trustees approved a revised mission and vision statement on September 15, 2015 to more clearly reflect the college's Catholic and Benedictine heritage. The revised mission statement reads as follows:

*The mission of the College of Saint Benedict is to provide for women the best residential liberal arts education in the Catholic and Benedictine traditions. The college fosters integrated learning, exceptional leadership for change and wisdom for a lifetime.*

The college's mission, vision, and strategic directions are foundational to the student experience and to decision-making about the college’s priorities.

Our programs, services, and student profile are consistent with our mission. The College of Saint Benedict offers the baccalaureate degree to women. Our programs and experiences are principally residential; we offer no on-line programs, nor do we offer accelerated programs or other programs for non-residential students. The vast majority of our degree programs are in arts and sciences disciplines. Even our pre-professional academic programs (Accounting and Finance, Elementary Education, Global Business Leadership, and Nursing) are fully grounded in the liberal arts and liberal learning. All programs share the same common curriculum. The college’s steadfast commitment to residential liberal arts education has been essential to our distinction and success.

The college achieves its mission in partnership with Saint John's University (SJU). CSB and SJU share the same fundamental commitment to high quality residential, liberal arts education framed by the Catholic intellectual tradition. As single-sex institutions, each college's mission and vision is particularly attentive to gender and gender development.
The College of Saint Benedict and Saint John’s University together offer 35 academic majors and 41 minors leading to the bachelor’s degree. In 2016-17, 69 percent of all degrees conferred at CSB were in arts and sciences disciplines.

In fall 2017, the college enrolled 1,915 first-year to senior students. Ninety-one percent of all students live in campus residential facilities or in housing provided by the college for students studying abroad. Nearly all students who live off campus reside in the neighborhoods surrounding the campus. Traditional-age students comprise nearly all students; in fall 2017, 99 percent of all undergraduates were under age 23. In support of its residential mission, the college provides and supports extensive student program and student support services, including Counseling and Health Services, Career Services, Intercultural and International Student Services, Campus Ministry, Intercollegiate Athletics and Student Activities.

Our graduates overwhelmingly endorse the liberal arts education they experienced at CSB and SJU. We survey alumnae three years after having earned their bachelor’s degree. Among alumnae who earned their baccalaureate degree at CSB between 2010 and 2014, nearly 90 percent agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, “the liberal arts education I received at CSB/SJU has contributed significantly to my personal and professional development.” Among 2014 alumnae, nearly all rated the quality of instruction they received as undergraduates as good or excellent, and 94 percent indicated they would choose CSB again if they could start over.

Commitment to mission also is key to strong governance. We annually provide trustees with an opportunity to formally assess their own performance. Results from our 2017 board assessment survey indicated strong commitment to using mission and values in making decisions. Ninety-two percent of trustees described the use of the college’s mission and values to drive decisions as good or excellent.

Budgeting is closely aligned with our joint strategic plan, ensuring that the mission guides the operations and financial choices of the college. Among the objectives included in Strategic Directions 2020 is a commitment to create a long-range economic model to ensure financial sustainability and the fulfillment of our mission Strategic Directions 2020. Approved by the Board of Trustees in February 26, 2016, the economic model established a set of strategic assumptions that express key operational and mission values and articulated a set of key financial levers by which financial sustainability could be achieved in both the short-term and the long-run. The financial levers allow the college to establish a series of broad multi-year revenue and expense goals and targets for the period FY 2017 to FY 2020. Alignment of planning and budget priorities is addressed in greater detail in Criterion 5.C.

Sources

- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Academic Majors and Minors
- IR_Baccalaureate Degrees Conferred by Discipline
- IR_Class of 2014 Alum Survey
- IR_Fall 2017 Enrollment Summary
- PRES CSB_2017 CSB Board Self Assessment
- PRES CSB_Mission and Vision
- PRES CSBSJU_SD 2020 Bd Approved_20150518
- SDC_EconomicModelContext_2015Fall.pdf
1.B - Core Component 1.B

The mission is articulated publicly.

1. The institution clearly articulates its mission through one or more public documents, such as statements of purpose, vision, values, goals, plans, or institutional priorities.
2. The mission document or documents are current and explain the extent of the institution’s emphasis on the various aspects of its mission, such as instruction, scholarship, research, application of research, creative works, clinical service, public service, economic development, and religious or cultural purpose.
3. The mission document or documents identify the nature, scope, and intended constituents of the higher education programs and services the institution provides.

Argument

The college’s mission statement and supporting vision and value statements are widely accessible to internal and external constituencies. The CSB/SJU website provides ready access to mission, vision, and strategic direction statements from the front page. The mission statement is included or referenced by weblink in both the Faculty Handbook and the Administrative and Support Staff Handbook. The statement also is widely posted in campus buildings, as are banners and posters reflecting the key mission values. The mission is front and center with trustees of the college as well, not only routinely reviewed but included on all meeting nameplates.

The college’s mission was central to the vision statement and key strategic directions that framed the Strategic Directions 2020 plan approved by the Board of Trustees in May 2015. The SD2020 vision statement tagline (think critically, lead courageously, advocate passionately) permeates our campus.

Further reflecting the integration of mission and vision, Strategic Directions 2020 asserted four core commitments to our students:

1. A rigorous and engaging liberal arts education that prepares students for fulfilling and meaningful lives and careers.
3. A powerful and lasting experience of community sustained by our Catholic and Benedictine values and characterized by a commitment to leadership and service.
4. A transformational experience for women that prepares them to be leaders, professionals, and scholars and empowers them to make their place in the world.

Strategic Directions 2020 identifies 17 outcome metrics that fulfill the plan’s goals and the college’s broader mission. We update the metrics annually and provide them to our Board of Trustees.

Sources

- FHB January 2018
- PRES CSBSJU_SD 2020 Bd Approved_20150518
- SD 2020 Outcome Metrics.pdf
1.C - Core Component 1.C

The institution understands the relationship between its mission and the diversity of society.

1. The institution addresses its role in a multicultural society.
2. The institution’s processes and activities reflect attention to human diversity as appropriate within its mission and for the constituencies it serves.

Argument

The College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University are committed to the promotion of a diverse community, one in which students, faculty, and staff venture from their comfort zones for the sake of learning, develop a critical perspective on the creation of difference, and pursue self-exploration with the knowledge that understanding yourself requires engagement with others.

In fall 2016, President Mary Dana Hinton challenged the college to explore how it can create an inclusion eco-system. She noted that "the work of inclusion has to be comprehensive and demands that we look at multiple dimensions and perspectives when we think about our campus and the students we serve. Certainly, economic diversity is and will remain a key consideration, as will race and ethnicity. Yet it’s not enough to limit our gaze to those visible and/or easily quantifiable metrics. When we think and speak of inclusion, we need to think about geographic, spiritual and ability diversity. Sexual orientation and gender identity, along with diversity of thought and perspective equally merits our attention. If we commit to all having a voice in our community then it is essential that we think through the variety of people we serve and what they add, and expect from, our community."

We have devoted considerable attention to intercultural learning, diversity and inclusion. Our Common Curriculum includes an intercultural learning requirement designed to help students develop a greater understanding of diversity while recognizing that individual values are shaped by one's own unique background and context. Beyond the curriculum, the college hosted two Inclusion Visioning Days in fall 2016 and spring 2017 that together included several hundred faculty, staff and students. Those meetings resulted in a strong commitment to inclusivity, which we expect to approve during the 2017-18 academic year.

The Intercultural Directions Council (IDC), a shared CSB/SJU committee created in 2005 comprised of faculty, staff and students, plays a key leadership role in relation to the college’s diversity and inclusion objectives. It seeks to develop shared understandings and promote actions that advance intercultural competence, to foster a genuinely inclusive intercultural community, to promote active learning toward intercultural competence, and to improve recruitment and retention of a diverse educational community (students, workforce and management).

Strategic Directions 2020 addresses two key imperatives: defining our distinction in higher education and assuring that our curricular and co-curricular experience and practice adapts to the needs, expectations, and aspirations of a 21st century student body. It includes a number of specific goals and benchmarks related to diversity and inclusivity:

- Develop and implement a professional development program that strengthens the faculty and staff’s ability to meet the needs of the student body. Professional development programming
will include enhanced preparation for diversity and intercultural literacy. In September 2015, the college received a grant from the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation to focus on professional development for humanities faculty to address successfully teaching, advising and engaging an increasingly diverse student body. The multi-pronged approach included an extensive review of current practices, multiple and dynamic faculty development opportunities and a "crossover evaluation" conducted in partnership with Saint John’s University. In December 2017, CSB and SJU received an additional $600,000 grant from the Mellon Foundation to support initiatives focused on inclusive pedagogy and community building. **BECOMING Community** will design and undertake a practice of ongoing community transformation based on transformative inclusion. Our goal is to prepare and enable our students, faculty and staff to move beyond simply learning about oppression to become agents of change dismantling oppression. The Council of Independent Colleges selected CSB and SJU to participate in the Diversity, Civility, and the Liberal Arts Institute. CSB/SJU will send a team of faculty and administrators to participate in this program to develop realistic plans to enable their institutions to strengthen diversity and civility on campus, both inside and outside the classroom.

- **Create a comprehensive First Year Experience program to facilitate the transition to college and create a foundation for student success.** During the 2016-17 academic year, faculty and staff from across the college conducted a self-study to assess the effectiveness of programs, policies, and procedures on retention and the experience of new students. Among other things, the self-study indicated an opportunity to improve first-year advising and the connections students make with faculty and each other during their first year in college -- particularly for first-generation and historically underrepresented students. The study recommends creating a first-year lab experience that addresses equity.

- **Eliminate retention and completion gaps between majority and under-represented students.** Though retention and completion rates for all students at CSB are significantly higher than national averages, significant gaps remain between majority students and students of color. Between fall 2014 and fall 2017, first-to-second year retention averaged 81 percent for American students of color and 89 percent for white students. The six-year completion rate for the entering class of 2011 totaled 75 percent for American students of color, compared to 84 percent for white students.

In support of the college’s student diversity and inclusion objectives, the CSB/SJU Office of Intercultural and International Student Services advocates for, educates, and serves the campus community through programs and workshops that advance self-identity development, diversity, inclusion, and social justice. It seeks to strengthen the intercultural, international and social justice education and development of all students; to advocate for, serve and guide the campus community to increased and improved intercultural competence; and to assist international and underrepresented students in their transitions to, retention at, and graduation from CSB/SJU.

We provide a number of other services directed toward student retention and success:

- **Student Accessibility Services** collaborates with both academic and student development departments to provide community-wide access for persons with disabilities.
- **College Navigator,** a new position created in 2017, works in both admission and student development roles to assist historically underrepresented students and their families first through the admission process and then through successful completion of their first year in college.
- **We host three College Possible "coaches,"** who work with historically underrepresented students throughout their four years here, helping them to navigate their academic and co-curricular experience, and providing them with counseling assistance on issues like financial aid, student accounts, and academic advising. College Possible is a national non-profit organization dedicated to helping low-income, first-generation and underrepresented college students succeed in college and beyond.
organization headquartered in the Twin Cities that connects underrepresented students to college opportunities.

In addition to administrative support, the college also offers a number of academic and student development programs and activities designed to support underrepresented students or advance multicultural understanding and inclusion, including:

- The Intercultural Leadership, Education and Development Program (Intercultural-LEAD) program, which provides support for talented first-generation students to build on the leadership skills they demonstrated within their high schools and home communities.
- Festival of Cultures. An annual event drawing over a thousand people from the campus and surrounding community, Festival of Cultures is a festival of music, entertainment, food and culture. It celebrates and recognizes the diversity at CSB/SJU through student performances, cultural food sampling, and a fashion show. Principally hosted by international students, the festival also includes students from the United States who have strong affinity to other countries, as well as varying cultures within the United States.
- Advocates for Inclusive Mentoring, a program for students historically underrepresented at CSB. Piloted in spring 2017, the program seeks to improve retention of underrepresented students, enhance their leadership, academic, personal, and professional skills and develop self-agency and identity.
- Reflection Action Dialogue (RAD), a student organization that hosts interactive theater events on campus as an opportunity to promote inclusivity and shed light on various forms of exclusion that occur on and off campus.

The college has made significant progress in recent years to enroll rising numbers of historically underrepresented students. The number of undergraduate students of color has doubled since fall 2010, and their proportion of the entire student body has risen from eight percent to 17 percent. First generation students consistently comprise between 26 percent and 30 percent of new entering students. In fall 2017, CSB joined the select group of colleges who comprise the American Talent Initiative, committing to the enrollment and success of low and moderate income students.

In part a reflection of local demography, we have been less successful attracting faculty and staff of color. In fall 2016, 9.4 percent of all faculty were non-white. People of color represented 3.2 percent of all administrative and support staff. To better support our commitment to building and retaining a diverse workforce, the CSB/SJU Human Resources Department created a Diversity and Inclusion Advisory Committee in fall 2016. Comprised of both staff and faculty, the Advisory Committee aspires to serve our students and community with a commitment to diversity and inclusion in three focus areas: recruitment and retention, professional development and infrastructure, and community outreach and engagement. The committee has begun training of faculty and staff to serve as search advocates to ensure that searches are inclusive.

Sources

- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Common Curriculum Intercultural Learning
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Mellon Grants_2015
- CSB-SJU Search Advocate Executive Introduction Material
- CSBSJU_Diversity, Civility, and the Liberal Arts Institute
- IR_Cohort Grad Rates 2009-2012.pdf
- IR_Fac Staff by RaceEthnicity 2016-17
- IR_First-to-Second Year Persistence, 2013 to 2016 Cohorts
- IR_Race-Ethnicity Profile 2012-2017
- PRES CSB_Inclusion Eco-System_2016
- PRES CSBSJU_BECOMING Community 2017
- PRES CSBSJU_Inclusion Statement_2018.pdf
- SD 2020 Outcome Metrics.pdf
- STD DEV_FoE Final Report_2017
- STD DEV_Intercultural Directions Council Purpose
1.D - Core Component 1.D

The institution’s mission demonstrates commitment to the public good.

1. Actions and decisions reflect an understanding that in its educational role the institution serves the public, not solely the institution, and thus entails a public obligation.
2. The institution’s educational responsibilities take primacy over other purposes, such as generating financial returns for investors, contributing to a related or parent organization, or supporting external interests.
3. The institution engages with its identified external constituencies and communities of interest and responds to their needs as its mission and capacity allow.

Argument

Service and commitment to community are core values at the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John’s University. The terms “service,” “community,” “justice,” and “stewardship” each have a long tradition in Benedictine monasticism and Catholic social teaching. Together they provide the context for engagement, service and learning here.

We influence the world around us through the experiences we provide our students. The vision statement approved by the Board of Trustees in 2015 articulates not only the way we seek to be understood but, as importantly, the ways we prepare our students for a lifetime of leadership:

The College of Saint Benedict will be nationally recognized as community that provides a liberal arts education preparing women to think critically, lead courageously, and advocate passionately.

Promoting the common good through active community engagement and serving others is an integral part of the CSB student experience. A portion of that work is expressed through the Office of Experience and Professional Development (XPD), which combines the former offices of Career Services and Experiential Learning and Community Engagement. XPD "empowers students to connect their aspirations with opportunities and translate their liberal arts education to achieve meaningful personal and professional lives". It supports professional and career development as well as programs empowering students to apply knowledge and theory gained in the classroom to a hands-on learning environment, including programming associated with the new Center for Ethical Leadership, funded by a significant endowment gift received in December 2016. The office brings together students, faculty, businesses, non-profit organizations and government partners to promote access to experiential learning and pre- and post-graduation professional opportunities. The experiential learning programs managed by XPD include:

- The Bonner Leader Program, which seeks to improve the lives of individuals and communities by helping meet the basic needs of nutrition and educational opportunity. Today, 39 CSB and SJU students fulfill their Bonner work study at over 15 different locations and complete more than 1,000 hours of service every year.
- The Marie and Robert Jackson Fellows Program, which seeks to empower students with opportunities to serve the common good through community engagement, collective learning, and leadership and professional development. Each year, 10-12 CSB and SJU students are selected through an application and interview process to be part of a cohort of Jackson Fellows.
All fellowships involve civic engagement and work devoted to improving community life.

- The CSB Community Kitchen Program, which seeks to increase access to nutritious food and alleviate food insecurity in Stearns County. The meal-delivery program works with local organizations to distribute surplus food from campus dining centers and serves as a catalyst for education, collaboration and community action.
- The Service-Learning Program works with CSB and SJU students and faculty and organizations in the surrounding community to foster successful service-learning opportunities that are relevant to what is taught in the classroom. The program provides students with opportunities to participate in service-learning opportunities that incorporates ideas, theories, practices, and methods taught in the classroom and to accept, support, and appreciate diversity in all its forms.

The [CSB/SJU 2016 Senior Survey](#) reflects our students’ strong commitment to service. Approximately 81 percent of CSB seniors indicated that they participated in a community service or volunteer activity during their time here. Six in ten indicated that they spent at least one hour per week participating in community service activities. More than 9 in 10 said that “helping others in need” was a very important or essential life objective. Eighty-three percent described “being active in my community” similarly.

These examples provide only a small sample of the scope of our engagement with the broader public and the ways we serve a common good. Individual students, class sections, and student clubs and organizations often organize and deliver service projects that serve communities. The college actively engages the local community, regularly meeting with St. Joseph community leaders and serving as members of the St. Joseph Chamber of Commerce, the Greater St. Cloud Development Corporation, and the Central Minnesota Community Foundation.

**Sources**

- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS, Campus Compact Civic Action Plan
- IR_2016 Senior Survey Report
- PRES CSB, Mission and Vision
1. S - Criterion 1 - Summary

The institution’s mission is clear and articulated publicly; it guides the institution’s operations.

Summary

The College of Saint Benedict, together with its partner, Saint John's University, fulfills Criterion One through clearly defined mission and vision statements. Our strategic plan articulates a set of core commitments and aspirations to fulfill both. We lead and operate through the lens of mission. Consistency in the mission over many years has enabled us to nurture a strong sense of identity and purpose, and allowed us to direct our focus to goals, experiences, and activities that clearly move us toward the achievement of the mission. The liberal arts, residential and Catholic and Benedictine values expressed in our mission provide us with tremendous opportunities to create a true community of active and committed scholars and learners.

Sources

There are no sources.
2 - Integrity: Ethical and Responsible Conduct

The institution acts with integrity; its conduct is ethical and responsible.

2.A - Core Component 2.A

The institution operates with integrity in its financial, academic, personnel, and auxiliary functions; it establishes and follows policies and processes for fair and ethical behavior on the part of its governing board, administration, faculty, and staff.

Argument

The College of Saint Benedict promotes and exhibits integrity through policies and practices that call trustees, faculty, staff and administration to ethical and professional behavior.

The College of Saint Benedict Board of Trustees approves the college's mission, appoints and assesses the president, approves institutional policies related to faculty appointments, approves the annual budget, authorizes debt financing and capital spending, and approves degrees.

The Board fulfills its governance duties as outlined in the governing documents and prescribed by law. The Articles of Incorporation articulate the institution's purpose "to conduct a Catholic nonprofit institution of higher learning to further the education of young people and adults" and "to further the encouragement of learning and the extension of the means of education generally through teaching, research, and community services." The College of Saint Benedict Bylaws articulate the responsibilities of the board and processes by which the board governs the college, and the board assesses its work annually. Trustees are required to disclose potential conflicts of interest and refrain from participating in board actions where there is a conflict of interest. In May 2017, trustees approved changes to the bylaws regarding voting board membership to improve independence and reduce conflicts of interest related to faculty and students serving as voting members on the boards (additional details are provided in 2.C).

Committee charters guide committee deliberations and decisions. The Finance Committee reviews and recommends the annual operating and capital budgets. The Investment Committee oversees the endowment, recommends draw policies, and monitors investment allocations and investment managers. The Audit Committee reviews the college's financial statements and control and accounting systems and recommends an independent auditor. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews insurance coverage and ensures that appropriate risk management policies and practices are being followed.

The CSB Business Office employs generally accepted accounting principles to ensure transparent and consistent financial processes. College management receives monthly budget variance reports. CSB's financial statements are audited annually by an independent public accounting firm. The institution consistently receives unmodified audit opinions, reflecting conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and indicating that financial statements are presented fairly. The auditors present the annual audited financial statements to the Audit Committee, which has the delegated authority to accept those reports.
CSB is an Equal Opportunity Employer and strives for fairness and transparency in employment practices. Human Resources (HR) is involved in all steps of recruitment and hiring to ensure a diverse applicant pool. In spring 2017, an HR Inclusion Advisory Committee was created to address issues of diversity and inclusion in hiring. HR also provides orientation for new employees, annual workshops on best recruitment and hiring practices, and a variety of ongoing educational, informational, and professional offerings. A Joint Benefits Committee comprised of faculty and staff and a Faculty Compensation and Benefits Committee regularly review benefits and provides input from stakeholders.

Employees are expected to conduct their work with professionalism and integrity and in accord with the college's mission and values. The Koch Chair in Catholic Thought and Culture and the Benedictine Institute provide mission-focused activities and lectures. Standards of conduct and institutional and employee policies are compiled in faculty and staff handbooks, which are available on-line to all employees. EthicsPoint, an online reporting system, provides an anonymous and confidential way to ask questions or report instances of suspected improper conduct such as discrimination, time abuse, harassment, and public safety. We annually send an email reminder about EthicsPoint to all employees. The Human Resources Office tracks and follows up on reports to resolve issues that may be raised.

Faculty members adhere to the highest levels of scholarly and research practice, supported by the Faculty Code of Ethics (discussed further under criterion 2.E). Academic and administrative departments model "best practices" and ethical codes of their various professions (e.g., Financial Accounting Standards Board, Society of Human Resources Management, Association of Student Affairs in Catholic Colleges and Universities).

The college has a tradition of shared governance that strives to include administrators, faculty, and staff in policy-making and decision-making. Standing committees such as the Joint Benefits Committee, Faculty Compensation and Benefits Committee, Faculty Handbook Committee, Strategic Directions Council, Faculty Senate, and Student Senate provide faculty, staff, and students with opportunities for discussion, input and feedback. The President's Cabinet meets regularly to discuss and address institutional issues, needs and concerns. Coordinate Cabinet, which is comprised of a both the CSB Cabinet and the SJU Cabinet along with faculty and student leaders, meets twice a semester. An annual campus-wide workshop, strategic planning sessions, and twice-a-year community-wide open forums with the presidents bolster an already-strong culture of community.

Institutional processes are regularly reviewed for efficiency, effectiveness, and efficacy. For example, the Presidents' Charge for the Strategic Directions Council was revised in fall 2016 to refocus their work on implementing Strategic Directions 2020.

The CSB Board of Trustees meets with the SJU Board of Trustees twice annually to discuss the shared academic and student service programs and issues of relevance to both institutions. Additionally, committees of the Boards of Trustees meet together regularly for the same purpose. A Memorandum of Understanding, which is currently being updated, a variety of supporting documents guide the work of the coordinate relationship at the board and administrative levels.

Sources

- CSB_Finance_FY17 Audited Financial Statements
- FHB January 2018
• PRES CSB_Articles of Incorporation and Amendments_20041124
• PRES CSB_Audit Committee Charter_20151021
• PRES CSB_Bylaws_20170516
• PRES CSB_Committee Charters_20161121
• PRES CSB_Conflict of Interest Policy_200605
• PRES CSB_Finance Committee Charter_20151119
• PRES CSB_Investment Committee Charter_20151021
• PRES CSBSJU_Benedictine Institute Website_20171025
• PRES CSBSJU_Equal Employment Human Resources Website_20161005
• PRES CSBSJU_EthicsPoint email_20170912
• PRES CSBSJU_EthicsPoint Website_20171117.pdf
• PRES CSBSJU_Fac Comp and Benefits Comm_2017
• PRES CSBSJU_Faculty Code of Ethics
• PRES CSBSJU_HR Inclusion Advisory Committee Framework Action Plan_2017May
• PRES CSBSJU_HR Recruitment Guidelines_2017
• PRES CSBSJU_Joint Benefits Committee Overview_2016
• PRES CSBSJU_Koch Chair in Catholic Thought_20171025
• PRES CSBSJU_MOU_20071130
• PRES CSBSJU_Updated Charge to SDC_20160725
2.B - Core Component 2.B

The institution presents itself clearly and completely to its students and to the public with regard to its programs, requirements, faculty and staff, costs to students, control, and accreditation relationships.

Argument

The College of Saint Benedict strives for clear and accurate communication with outside audiences throughout its public statements and organizational documents. The college uses a variety of tools and documents to communicate its mission, programs, requirements, faculty and staff, costs to students, control, and accreditation relationships. These include the CSB/SJU website, social media, academic catalog, Bennie Book, admission and marketing materials, the alumnae magazine, and the CSB/SJU Institutional Profile. Each of these is developed with our mission in mind and undergoes regular review and edits by staff in the respective departments to ensure accuracy and clarity.

In addition, the College provides information and data to the public through a number of mandatory and optional reporting systems. Some examples include required state reporting to the Minnesota Office of Higher Education, the Annual Campus Safety Report (Clery), and the Integrated Postsecondary Educational Data System, as well as participating in and providing data for many surveys from outside organizations (e.g. U.S. News).

Website

The [CSB/SJU website](#) is widely used by internal and external audiences to access information about the College of Saint Benedict. The website is managed by the Office of Marketing and Communications, which provides creative and content development support to academic and administrative departments and has primary responsibility to keep the website updated. Websites for academic departments contain biographical and contact information for faculty, as well as information about majors, minors, student services and career opportunities. Other sites in the CSB/SJU domain provide current and historic information from A-Z for students, employees, alumnae and alumni and the public.

Academic Catalog

The [Academic Catalog](#) is the primary tool for communicating information about academic departments and offerings, policies and regulations, and campus support services to current and prospective students. The catalog provides links to specific departments and faculty listings. The Office of the Registrar updates the catalog annually.

Tuition and Fees

[Tuition and fees](#) are reported on the website and in admission materials. Students and their families have access to a [Net Price Calculator](#) to help them determine the types of financial aid for which they may be eligible. Each spring, families of returning students receive a letter from the president announcing costs of attendance for the next year.

Bennie Book
The Bennie Book is the handbook for College of Saint Benedict students. It serves as the primary communication source for policies, community standards, expectations, and information related to residential life, human rights, sexual misconduct, student activities, and student conduct. The Bennie Book is updated regularly by the Office of Student Development. Published online annually, all students receive a website link to the Bennie Book at the beginning of each school year.

Admission Materials

The Office of Admission produces print and online materials for prospective students and their families. These materials are designed to convey the mission and values of the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University, to describe the broad range of curricular and co-curricular opportunities at the colleges, and to clearly articulate the rigor of the academic programs offered.

Social Media

CSB/SJU have a number of social media accounts including Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, Pinterest, and Instagram. We use these tools to communicate and engage with internal and external audiences. Staff from OMC monitor all accounts to ensure timely and accurate responses to questions.

Institutional Fact Book

The Institutional Profile is the official fact book for the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University. It provides a multi-year snapshot of key data and trends related to admission and enrollment, academic activity and productivity, and human and financial resources. The profile is intended to address questions frequently asked about the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University and provide, in one compendium, macro-level data for planning, decision-making, fundraising and communication.

Accreditation

Accreditation status is listed on the website and in the Academic Catalog. Departmental accreditation information is posted on the website and within the department-specific information in the catalog.

Sources

- IR_InstitutionalProfile-2017
- PRES CSB_Mission Impact Report_2017Spring
- PRES CSB_Tuition and Fees_20170614
- PRES CSBSJU_Accreditation_2017
- PRES CSBSJU_Admission_20171025
- PRES CSBSJU_Facebook_20171025
- PRES CSBSJU_Instagram_20180129
- PRES CSBSJU_Institutional Review Board_20171025
- PRES CSBSJU_Net Price Calculator_20171025
- PRES CSBSJU_Pinterest_20171025
- PRES CSBSJU_Twitter_20171025
- PRES CSBSJU_Webpage_20170614
- PRES CSBSJU_YouTube_20171025
2.C - Core Component 2.C

The governing board of the institution is sufficiently autonomous to make decisions in the best interest of the institution and to assure its integrity.

1. The governing board’s deliberations reflect priorities to preserve and enhance the institution.
2. The governing board reviews and considers the reasonable and relevant interests of the institution’s internal and external constituencies during its decision-making deliberations.
3. The governing board preserves its independence from undue influence on the part of donors, elected officials, ownership interests or other external parties when such influence would not be in the best interest of the institution.
4. The governing board delegates day-to-day management of the institution to the administration and expects the faculty to oversee academic matters.

Argument

The College of Saint Benedict Board of Trustees operates in relation to the responsibilities outlined in the college's bylaws. Made up of 25-40 members, the Board holds at least three regularly scheduled meetings annually.

Board's Deliberations Reflect Priorities

In addition to its regular work reviewing the college's mission, approving the annual budget, and authorizing capital projects, the board deliberates and acts on numerous other significant matters, recently including a strategic plan (Strategic Directions 2020), a revised Sexual Misconduct Policy, academic program review, enterprise risk management, a capital campaign, and the hiring of a president (in 2013-14). In 2014, the board enacted a Governance as Leadership model.

Decision-making Includes Constituent Interests

Much of the work of the board is accomplished through its standing committees. Faculty and students serve as voting representatives on the Academic Affairs, Enrollment and Marketing, Student Development, Campaign Steering Committee, and Buildings and Grounds Committees. A non-voting faculty representative serves on the Finance Committee. This model helps ensure faculty and students have a voice in important deliberations.

Faculty, staff and students often present directly to the board and to its committees. Faculty, staff, students, and alumnae provided important input on the strategic plan, capital campaign and presidential search.

Board Preserves Independence

Trustees are required to sign annually a Conflict of Interest statement disclosing any relationships or affiliations that could be perceived as a conflict of interest, and to refrain from participating in discussions where a conflict could be perceived. Further, a document detailing the role of a trustee is shared annually with the board. It includes information about preserving institutional independence from governmental intrusion.
The college's Bylaws note in article VI that "The Board of Trustees is autonomous and makes decisions in the best interest of the institution. The Board preserves its independence from undue influence on the part of donors, elected officials, ownership interests or other external parties when such influence would not be in the best interest of the institution. The Board delegates day-to-day management of the institution to the administration and expects the faculty to oversee academic matters."

In spring 2016, Dr. Richard Cook, leader of the Council of Independent Colleges’ Presidents Governance Academy recommended to the Joint Presidential Advisory Committee (JPAC) that the CSB and SJU bylaws be reviewed and revised to conform to best practices. In September 2016 the JPAC recommended review of the CSB and SJU Bylaws to the CSB and SJU Boards of Trustees. Together, the SJU and CSB boards engaged Dr. Richard T. Ingram, former Association of Governing Boards president, to examine the two sets of bylaws. Dr. Ingram submitted his report in January 2017. The report included a number of recommendations about board size, term limits, and clarification of trustee responsibilities. The recommendation that received the greatest scrutiny was related to faculty and students serving as voting trustees. The report indicated that, while not uncommon among colleges and universities, faculty and students as voting trustees did not represent best practice, noting that potential or real conflicts of interest inhibit these groups from fulfilling their fiduciary responsibility. Faculty and students opposed the recommendation. After considerable deliberation and advice from legal counsel, in May 2017, the trustees of both the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John’s University voted to remove faculty and students as voting members of their respective boards. The change went into effect in fall 2017. Though no longer voting trustees, faculty and students continue to attend board meetings and serve on most board committees, as noted above.

Delegation of Management

The authority and responsibilities of the president detailed in the college's bylaws, article IX, clearly identify the president as the college's chief executive officer. A cabinet comprised of senior divisional leaders reports to the president. Board committee charters identify cabinet-level administrative relationships with standing committees.

Specific duties of the president include organization, administration and leadership of the institution, institutional priorities and goals authorized by the board, appointment of vice presidents and other administrative officers, ensuring compliance with accrediting agencies and federal and state law, primary responsibility for the financial well-being of the college, and preparation of the budget and strategic plan.

Faculty Oversight of Academics

The faculty is responsible for curricular planning and review, academic standards, the core curriculum, majors and minors, and graduation requirements. In addition, they set and maintain faculty standards related to tenure, promotions, sabbaticals and faculty development funds. The faculty also oversee the creation and implementation of faculty salary schedules. The responsibilities are described more broadly in Section 1.4.2 of the Faculty Handbook.
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2.D - Core Component 2.D

The institution is committed to freedom of expression and the pursuit of truth in teaching and learning.

Argument

Academic freedom is a respected and protected value at the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University. Faculty rights and obligations related to academic freedom, outlined in the Faculty Handbook, are based on the American Association of University Professors (AAUP) "Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom." The college recognizes the value of tenure as promoting not only academic freedom but also the stability of the college as a community of teachers and scholars. Promotion and tenure policies are carefully structured to assist faculty in their professional development and to allow for thorough evaluation of every candidate, creating positive long-term educational outcomes of tenure and promotion decisions.

Students, too, are ensured freedom of speech and artistic expression via the College of Saint Benedict's student life policies. The CSB/SJU student newspaper, The Record, provides a venue for free expression, as do social media, and the student senates. The colleges frequently host outside speakers who represent a rich variety of viewpoints on contemporary issues. Through our Policy Statement for Freedom of Speech and Artistic Expression, we welcome the presentation of diverse views, understanding that the expression of those views may cause discomfort for some members of our community. We retain the right to limit speakers/groups inconsistent with the Catholic mission and character of the colleges.

As Catholic Benedictine institutions, CSB and SJU remain inspired by the Catholic intellectual tradition. Our academic community is dedicated to the intellectual, spiritual and ethical formation of students and to academic excellence in the search for truth. The intellectual life of these campuses embodies and affirms the harmony of faith and reason in addition to the dignity of each person, each central to the Catholic intellectual tradition. We are committed to exploring how faith and reason mutually enrich and challenge our understanding of the human condition and the development of the human person. As Catholic and Benedictine institutions, we support and actively encourage freedom to explore the truths of faith, for intellectual and scientific inquiry of all types and for active dialogue about the interactions among these.

The Catholic intellectual tradition has long held that truth is too vast for any one tradition to fully encompass. Thus, those who stand in other faith traditions are no strangers here and are invited to witness to the truth as they see it. There is a place here also for those who do not consider themselves a part of any religious tradition, but who devote themselves with passion and integrity to their disciplines, learning, teaching and to the search for truth. The Catholic identity of CSB and SJU commits us to the practices of Benedictine hospitality and an ecumenical welcome extended to all who enter the intellectual community as persons of principle and seekers of truth.
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2.E - Core Component 2.E

The institution’s policies and procedures call for responsible acquisition, discovery and application of knowledge by its faculty, students and staff.

1. The institution provides effective oversight and support services to ensure the integrity of research and scholarly practice conducted by its faculty, staff, and students.
2. Students are offered guidance in the ethical use of information resources.
3. The institution has and enforces policies on academic honesty and integrity.

Argument

Integrity of Research and Scholarly Practice

The College of Saint Benedict requires that all research activities involving human subjects comply with the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects (45 CFR 46). CSB/SJU have an Institutional Review Board (IRB) and accompanying policies to protect the dignity and rights of participants in research conducted either on or by members of our two communities. The IRB ensures that risks are minimized and reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits, informed consent is obtained from subjects and appropriately documented, and that privacy and safety of subjects are maintained. Faculty and staff pursuing human subject research must submit an application to the IRB and receive written approval before they can begin recruiting subjects.

IRB members as well as undergraduate students pursuing human subject research must complete ethical training. Students as well as faculty who receive federal funding (e.g., NSF) are required to complete an on-line ethical training course, before data collection begins. The Office of Experience and Professional Development supports undergraduate research and contributes to the integrity of student research practices through grant funding, workshops and training, and by supporting faculty-student collaboration.

Ethical Use of Information Resources

Library staff members are committed to educating students on the ethical use of information, as demonstrated through the Information Literacy learning outcomes. Information on copyright laws, plagiarism, accurate citations, and academic honesty are shared online and in library training sessions done during each student's First Year Seminar course.

Academic Honesty and Integrity

Academic integrity is central to the missions, character and reputation of the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University. The colleges enforce a copyright policy and an academic misconduct policy. Academic honesty and academic misconduct, and the consequences of each, are clearly addressed within the Academic Catalog.

As liberal arts colleges in the Catholic and Benedictine tradition, we uphold ethical standards of conduct that demand integrity in all aspects of our lives, including in the scholarly work of students, faculty and staff. Staff from the Library and the Writing Center are partners with faculty colleagues in providing information and training to students in their first year to help them understand the
importance of academic honesty and integrity. The reputation of our institutions, students, alumni, faculty, staff, and benefactors depends on our devotion to high academic standards.
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2.S - Criterion 2 - Summary

The institution acts with integrity; its conduct is ethical and responsible.

Summary

The College of Saint Benedict strives to act with integrity and conduct its operations ethically and responsibly. We have developed policies and processes to ensure financial, academic, personnel and fiscal responsibility and accountability appropriate to an institution founded in the Catholic and Benedictine traditions.

Staff are encouraged to follow professional association standards and best practice. Students are taught about the importance of academic integrity. The CSB mission, bylaws, and board committee charters inform board and committee practice, and trustees and key employees are asked to disclose known conflicts of interest.

The Board of Trustees is committed to preserving and enhancing the College of Saint Benedict while allowing the administration to oversee daily management and the faculty to oversee academic matters.

As a Catholic and Benedictine institution, CSB supports and actively encourages freedom to explore the truths of faith and reason, to pursue intellectual and scientific inquiry of all types and to engage in active dialogue about the interactions among these. Faculty are encouraged and expected to work according to the principles established by their respective disciplines. Controls are in place to ensure research is conducted in an ethical and responsible manner.

Sources

There are no sources.
3 - Teaching and Learning: Quality, Resources, and Support

The institution provides high quality education, wherever and however its offerings are delivered.

3.A - Core Component 3.A

The institution’s degree programs are appropriate to higher education.

1. Courses and programs are current and require levels of performance by students appropriate to the degree or certificate awarded.
2. The institution articulates and differentiates learning goals for undergraduate, graduate, post-baccalaureate, post-graduate, and certificate programs.
3. The institution’s program quality and learning goals are consistent across all modes of delivery and all locations (on the main campus, at additional locations, by distance delivery, as dual credit, through contractual or consortial arrangements, or any other modality).

Argument

3.A.1 Courses and Programs

The College of Saint Benedict (CSB) and Saint John’s University (SJU) offer 35 majors and 41 minors leading to the baccalaureate degree. Credit requirements meet commonly accepted practices in baccalaureate higher education: students must complete 124 credits, of which 40 must be earned in upper-division courses.

The College of Saint Benedict does not offer graduate, post-baccalaureate, post-graduate or certificate programs. The School of Theology at Saint John's University offers the following graduate degrees: the master of theological studies; the master of arts in liturgical music; the master of arts in ministry; the master of theology; and the master of divinity and also holds one certificate program (see the SJU Assurance Argument for details).

To ensure that courses and programs are current and appropriately rigorous, each department completes a comprehensive program review every 10 years or more frequently (if required by external specialized accreditation). As a result, 100 percent of programs have undergone program review within the previous 10 years and are able to demonstrate currency and appropriate rigor due to undergoing intensive self-study, including comparisons to peer and aspirant colleges, and an external expert evaluation.

The program review process is guided by the Programmatic Assessment of Student Success (PASS) document. Further details on the program review process are described in 4.A.1. In each self-study, faculty members examine course offerings, learning goals, and program design in the context of peer and aspirant colleges as well as the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University missions. The expected intensity and depth of review is demonstrated by the Environmental Studies program. An external evaluator reviews the self-study, visits campus, and submits a detailed report to the department and provost. The department reflects on the evaluator's report and meets with the provost to create an action plan. This action plan is the focus of annual reports submitted by the department to
the provost. All program reviews and subsequent annual reports are accessible to faculty, administrators and staff via an intranet (SharePoint) site.

The appropriate level of academic performance required for baccalaureate students is further evidenced by student and alumni perception of quality and rigor. The Senior Survey, administered each year to graduating seniors, asks multiple questions that indicate our students are academically challenged and satisfied with the quality and rigor of academic programs. For example, in 2016, 90.2 percent of CSB students and 73.2 percent of SJU students indicated they worked as hard as they could all or most of the time (see p. 2) and the quality of instruction was rated "excellent" or "good" by 92.5 percent of students (p. 3). The level of intellectual engagement on campus was rated as "excellent" or "good" by 89.7 percent and the level of academic challenge was rated as "excellent" or "good" by 90.6 percent of graduating seniors (p. 4). In addition, 90.7 percent of CSB students and 81.3 percent of SJU students who graduated in 2016 strongly agreed or agreed with the statement, “My experiences at CSB/SJU prepared me well for my career” (p. 9).

The most recent alumnae/i survey indicated that graduates rated their experience at CSB/SJU highly and indicated that their experience developed leadership skills and significantly contributed to their personal and professional development. Over 90 percent described a high level of academic challenge, commitment to academic excellence and achievement, and overall quality of instruction they received (p. 2).

Students have also demonstrated that the level of rigor required at CSB/SJU compares favorably nationally with performance on the Collegiate Learning Assessment (CLA). CSB and SJU administered the CLA most recently in 2014; entering students and graduating students performed above the 80th percentile in total score. This indicated that students were performing well with regard to analysis, problem solving, and writing mechanics as compared to a national sample of college graduates.

3.A.2 Learning Goals

Academic learning goals and related outcomes are articulated for undergraduate students, the general education program (referred to as the Common Curriculum), academic programs, and courses. The Academic Learning Goals were approved by the Joint Faculty Assembly on November 30, 1994. These learning goals are as follows:

Graduates will:

1. Analyze the influence of the Catholic and Benedictine traditions on the human condition.
2. Integrate knowledge from the liberal arts and sciences as they explore the human condition.
3. Integrate the liberal arts and sciences with the skills, values and depth of knowledge specific to a major field of study in preparation for further professional development.
4. Apply clear thinking and communication skills to the exploration of fundamental questions of the human condition.
5. Establish patterns of life-long learning to seek and integrate knowledge of self and the world.
6. Provide leadership and service in community to improve the human condition.
7. Communicate sensitivity to and understanding of gender and cultural differences in order to improve the human condition.

These are publicly accessible on the colleges' website. This year, parallel to the work on revising the Common Curriculum, we began a series of steps to create an updated set of institutional learning goals. The revision, pending approval, will integrate curricular and co-curricular learning and intends
to more holistically represent the CSB/SJU graduate. The revisions, which are anticipated to occur prior to the HLC site visit, are further discussed in 4.B.1.

Oversight of learning goals at the institutional level, in the Common Curriculum, and for academic programs, is the responsibility of faculty governance committees. Approvals of academic programs, courses in majors and minors, and related learning outcomes begin with the Academic Curriculum Committee. Approvals of Common Curriculum courses and learning outcomes begins with the Common Curriculum Committee. Both committees send major revisions to the Joint Faculty Senate for final approval. The most recent approved learning outcome changes in the Common Curriculum are found in the minutes of the Joint Faculty Senate dated 5-15-15. Since then, current learning outcomes have remained stable as larger Common Curriculum changes are being proposed.

3.A.3 Program Quality and Consistency

The undergraduate colleges do not provide distance delivery, do not participate in contractual or consortial arrangements, and do not maintain any additional locations. CSB/SJU holds a very limited number of dual credit options:

1. CSB/SJU students can complete a bachelor's of arts and either a master's of arts in inistry or master of theological studies degree in five years. Undergraduate students must earn a minimum of a 3.2 grade point average in theological coursework to enter into the five-year program.

2. There are dental schools that admit students after three years of undergraduate study if all of the pre-requisites are met. Students must complete all general education and major requirements except for four upper-division courses within the natural sciences that could be transferred from the participating dental school. Approval must occur from the department chair. Sufficient credits may then be transferred from the first year of dental school to complete the CSB/SJU degree requirements.

As residential colleges, consistency in program quality and learning goals for courses is monitored by the Academic Curriculum Committee and Common Curriculum Committee through a course and curriculum approval process. For students who study abroad during the undergraduate experience, the colleges offer courses abroad (see, for example, the Galway Program), which are equivalent to those offered on campus. The colleges also offer several short-term programs abroad. For example, the Nursing department (NRSG 303) offers public health clinical experiences in Belize, Dominican Republic, and South Africa as well as local equivalents. The course outcomes and expectations are identical for all students. Additional examples include BIOL 373-Ecology of the Galapagos Islands, EDUC 280-Children's Literature in the United Kingdom, which is based on the on-campus course EDUC 215, and HISP 211-Intermediate Spanish Language and Culture in Valladolid. To assure consistency, these courses are designed by our faculty, taught by our faculty, and approved by the Academic Curriculum Committee and/or Common Curriculum Committee consistent with all other courses. See 4.A.4. for more detail on oversight and rigor.
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The institution demonstrates that the exercise of intellectual inquiry and the acquisition, application, and integration of broad learning and skills are integral to its educational programs.

1. The general education program is appropriate to the mission, educational offerings, and degree levels of the institution.
2. The institution articulates the purposes, content, and intended learning outcomes of its undergraduate general education requirements. The program of general education is grounded in a philosophy or framework developed by the institution or adopted from an established framework. It imparts broad knowledge and intellectual concepts to students and develops skills and attitudes that the institution believes every college-educated person should possess.
3. Every degree program offered by the institution engages students in collecting, analyzing, and communicating information; in mastering modes of inquiry or creative work; and in developing skills adaptable to changing environments.
4. The education offered by the institution recognizes the human and cultural diversity of the world in which students live and work.
5. The faculty and students contribute to scholarship, creative work, and the discovery of knowledge to the extent appropriate to their programs and the institution’s mission.

Argument

3.B.1 General Education

The College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University faculty approved the requirements for its baccalaureate general education program, the Common Curriculum, in a series of meetings during 2006-07 (see example meeting minutes from 12-5-06 and 3-7-07) with the most recent refinements in 2015.

The framework of the Common Curriculum has three layers, which are consistent with the mission and breadth of knowledge expected of the liberal arts and sciences. First, mission-driven, cross-disciplinary goals, which are reading, writing, discussion and research-intensive, are met through a two-semester First-Year Seminar, an Ethics Common Seminar, a course in Gender, Intercultural Learning and Experiential Learning. These courses share common learning outcomes and are structured around themes selected by the faculty. Second, disciplinary goals and exposure to "ways of knowing" are met through courses in the Natural Sciences, Humanities, Social Sciences and Fine Arts, including a Fine Arts Experience. Third, departmental goals are achieved through courses in Mathematics, a Global Language, and a Capstone course in the major. A total of up to 19 courses may be needed for students to fulfill the robust requirements of the Common Curriculum. A full description of the Common Curriculum is found on the website and is integrated into all students’ four-year plans. Students must satisfactorily complete all requirements of the Common Curriculum for graduation. Advisors have access to student DegreeWorks to assure that requirements are met.

3.B.2 Learning Outcomes

The Common Curriculum was developed by the faculty and encompasses the mission-driven cross-disciplinary, disciplinary, and departmental goals described above. Learning outcomes were articulated for each requirement and courses fulfilling those requirements are expected to adhere to
those approved outcomes. To assure consistency and quality, faculty must apply for a Common Curriculum course designation (see, for example, the gender designation application and a completed application). In addition, faculty must explain how students will achieve the learning outcomes. Application forms are readily accessible on the website. All courses fulfilling a Common Curriculum requirement are reviewed and approved by the Common Curriculum Committee and documented within the committee's minutes (see, for example, minutes of 9-30-15). In addition, faculty teaching courses with Common Curriculum designations submit samples of student work for assessment to determine the extent to which students are meeting the learning outcomes. Teams of faculty members read samples of student work; using a faculty-designed rubric, they rate the student work. That process generates discussion about improving prompts for assignments and refining outcomes and pedagogy. Detail on assessment of the Common Curriculum is found in Criterion 4.B.2.

The Common Curriculum, as a whole, imparts broad knowledge and intellectual concepts to students and develops skills and attitudes that the institution believes every college-educated person should possess. This assertion is based on the alignment of the Common Curriculum with the work done by Association of American Colleges & Universities (AAC&U), which has endorsed six "high-impact practices" for higher education. Specifically, CSB/SJU adheres to these practices through:

- A year-long First-Year Seminar that is "writing intensive;"
- "Common intellectual experiences" including First-Year Seminar and Ethics Common Seminar;
- "Diversity/global learning" through an intercultural course requirement;
- "Field-based experiential learning" through an Experiential Learning requirement; and
- "Capstone Courses and Projects" in every major.

In the 2016 Senior Survey, graduates agreed that the Common Curriculum does impart "broad knowledge across a number of fields" (86.3 percent very much or quite a bit). In addition, other indicators on the Senior Survey imply that students believe that the education provided at CSB/SJU has contributed to their knowledge, skills and personal development in the areas of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>&quot;Very Much or Quite a Bit&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquiring and applying information to solve a problem</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking critically about complex issues</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working effectively as a team member</td>
<td>87.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership skill development</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with others who hold differing viewpoints</td>
<td>84.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicating well</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing effectively</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing ethical or moral principles</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding race, culture and ethnicity</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding issues related to gender</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Senior Survey also asks students to indicate the degree to which the Common Curriculum requirements have contributed to their growth in adapting and succeeding in a changing world. The most impactful requirement, as reported by 2016 graduates (as "very much"), was experiential learning, followed by intercultural, gender, theology, and ethics common seminar courses.
Faculty have noted that these high impact practices do not include distributional requirements traditionally maintained by many higher education institutions. Similarly, students have indicated that the distributional requirements have a lower impact on their success as a well-rounded graduate. As a result, a task force was created by the Faculty Senate in 2013-14 to review the Common Curriculum and envision a path toward further improving the general education program. This task force, the Common Curriculum Visioning Committee, completed a comprehensive analysis of current best-practices in general education and created its final report titled "Making Connections."

In this report, the Common Curriculum Visioning Committee points out that the distribution model is not the ideal means of developing the knowledge, skills and values central to our mission and our programs (see p. 38). The executive summary recommends “moving from a general education program where learning goals are delivered in separate, individual courses to a program where courses are scaffolded in a developmentally appropriate sequence, assuring that students encounter, practice and refine key proficiencies and capabilities in multiple settings and in progressively challenging ways.” In September 2015, the Joint Faculty Senate approved the design principles for the new general education program. The plan is for a model to come to the Joint Faculty Assembly this spring for a vote. Detail regarding this process is also discussed Criterion 4.B.1.

3.B.3 Program Content

The baccalaureate degree program engages students in collecting, analyzing and communicating information; in mastering modes of inquiry or creative work; and in developing skills adaptable to changing environments from the first day of First-Year Seminar through the culminating Capstone presentation. The two-semester First-Year Seminar focuses on the critical thinking, writing, discussion and oral presentation skills that all careers demand; the capstone course in the major requires that seniors demonstrate their mastery of these skills as they present and discuss a project they have developed independently. Likewise, the steps in between are designed to promote breadth and depth of learning expected of the liberal arts and sciences baccalaureate education.

Starting in First-Year Seminar, students identify and evaluate sources of information; analyze and synthesize information; and construct extended arguments that cite sources appropriately. This expectation is evidenced by the FYS rubric used to evaluate student research and a summary of process and results. These results suggest that most students, even after that first year, are in the beginner to intermediate stages of writing well. Other Common Curriculum courses build students’ skills in data collection, analysis, and communication including the Capstone course in the major, which requires that students:

1. Demonstrate appropriate ability to work independently in their major discipline;
2. Demonstrate appropriate ability to integrate a variety of resources and learning from their major and, when appropriate, from across their undergraduate studies; and
3. Demonstrate appropriate ability to present and discuss their work.

Many students present their work publicly at the colleges' annual Scholarship and Creativity Day. The attached program demonstrates the breadth of projects undertaken across the campuses every year.

Mastering modes of inquiry and creative work are goals in the disciplinary courses. For example, courses with a Natural Science designation “introduce students to a systematic, empirical study of our world, while enhancing analytic skills and precise communication” by requiring that students:

1. Conduct a scientific investigation as part of a lab or field work to answer a given question
2. Solve or analyze challenging problems using qualitative and/or quantitative sources of
information
3. Communicate clearly and concisely the methods, results and conclusions of a scientific investigation
4. Evaluate information, ideas and scientific claims using appropriate criteria.

The Fine Arts requirement helps students deepen their understanding of an area of the arts, and develop the ability to apply analytic skills to aesthetic judgment. In fine arts courses, students:

1. Demonstrate a basic understanding of the historical, theoretical or applied aspect of one of the fine arts;
2. Identify and describe a range of contrasting styles within one of the fine arts;
3. Experience the creative process through performance/artistic production and or through observation of demonstrations, workshops, live performances, etc;
4. Apply analytical skills in exercising artistic discrimination and aesthetic judgment; and
5. Describe how the arts reflect and influence the individual and society.

The Senior Survey affirms that students are indeed challenged to develop skills adaptable to changing environments. In addition to indicators identified in 3.B.2, students reportedly acquired broad knowledge across a number of fields, embraced challenges and took risks (83.4 percent), acquired and applied information to solve a problem (89.2 percent) and learned how to learn effectively on their own (89.5 percent).

3.B.4 Diversity

The commitment to diversity stems from the missions and strategic directions ("...engage diverse perspectives and peoples...") and is further articulated in the Institutional Statement on Diversity, which asserts, “we commit ourselves to cultivate an inclusiveness and a respect that neither denies nor exaggerates differences. Recognizing our Catholic and liberal arts tradition of respect for human dignity, the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University affirm our mission to teach and foster respect for diversity, to embrace the marginalized and break down the privileges that would exclude those who are different or disadvantaged. Embracing the transcendent dignity of the human person, we accept our common call to build a community and contribute to a larger social world that reflects and celebrates the splendor of human diversity."

Curricular and co-curricular programs emphasize the growing diversity of our community. The Common Curriculum learning goals in language, gender and culture promote understanding of diverse viewpoints and experiences. For example, the learning goals associated with the intercultural designation highlight the ways in which social, political, economic and other forces continually shape “culture.” The learning goals also emphasize the framework within which students perceive “difference,: courses with intercultural designation require reflection on the ways in which students’ experiences, beliefs and values inform their perspectives.

CSB and SJU have also demonstrated a significant commitment to intercultural learning through our study abroad programs. Our 19 faculty-led semester-long programs include a required course with a Common Curriculum intercultural designation. The majority of our students study abroad. This number peaked in 2012-13 when the colleges ranked third among undergraduate programs in the nation sending 563 students abroad according to the Open Doors report of the Institution of International Education. The 2017 report (based on percentages) places CSB/SJU at 27th with 73.7 percent studying abroad. In 2012, CSB/SJU received the Senator Paul Simon Award, an honor given to “colleges and universities that are making significant, well-planned, well-executed and well-documented progress toward comprehensive internationalization—especially those using innovative
and creative approaches.”

As a college for women and a college for men founded by women’s and men’s Benedictine monastic communities, CSB and SJU are particularly attentive to gender in our curriculum and programming. By requiring a course with a gender designation, the colleges ensure that all students have an experience in which gender is “a primary lens of analysis for examining course content.” The learning goals stipulate that students will “identify at least two gendered perspectives from across the gender spectrum (feminine, masculine, trans, queer, etc.)” and “[a]rticulate how gender intersects with at least one of the following: race, class, ethnicity, nationality or sexuality,” and “[d]emonstrate ability to analyze individual or local experiences of gender in light of relevant broader structural and/or theoretical contexts.”

Notably, gender-related initiatives are also the focus of our HLC Quality Improvement Initiative. From this report, "Research within our campuses has . . . demonstrated the effectiveness of specific gendered interventions. For example, we know that our Mathematics, Physics, Computer Science Research Scholars (MapCores) program encouraged CSB students to persist in these traditionally male-dominated fields. The program (2009-2016) enrolled a cohort of women annually and included scholarships, curricular enhancements, one-on-one advising and support activities designed to attract and graduate more women in specific STEM fields. The program had considerable success in increasing the number of CSB women graduating with majors in mathematics, physics and computer science.

However, there is still much work to be done. Student perceptions of our success at diversity and inclusion are evidenced through the Senior Survey. In 2016, 57.1 percent of students were "very satisfied" or "generally satisfied" with the overall diversity of the colleges with respect to background and social identities. Over 70 percent believed the colleges contributed to their understanding of race, culture and ethnicity and 68 percent for that of gender.

The colleges continue to explore ways to attend to diversity initiatives and to support underserved student populations. For example, both CSB and SJU applied for and were awarded Mellon grants for 2015-2016 and 2016-2017; these grants provided support for inclusive pedagogy and course design that meets the needs of our U.S. students of color (15%). The outcomes of these grants are extensive. For example, the work on creating a more inclusive campus climate led to an increase (from 12 percent to 51 percent) in faculty who believed diversity in the classroom allowed for a broader variety of experiences. In addition, 100 percent of students of color reporting having someone to reach out to for support on campus. Students also perceive faculty are capable of addressing issues of diversity in the classroom; the number of students of color who believe that faculty were more open to diverse points of view in class increased by 25 percent.

3. B. 5 Discovery of Knowledge

The mission of the Undergraduate Research Program at the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University is to provide our students with the opportunity to engage in intensive scholarship, research or creative work within the students' chosen field of study. The goal of the program is to support student work in a manner which allows for the work to be presented in some form to a larger external audience (e.g., publication, exhibition, scholarly presentation, or public performance). The Undergraduate Research Program provides resources and support to both the students and their faculty mentors that will facilitate the students' scholarly and creative efforts.

The most significant evidence of students engaging in and contributing to mentored scholarship, creative work, and the discovery of knowledge is during Celebrating Scholarship and Creativity Day,
held in April of each year. Typically, over 900 students present posters or provide oral presentations of their scholarly and creative work as demonstrated by the abstract collections from 2016 and 2017. No classes meet on Celebrating Scholarship and Creativity Day, and both campuses host a full schedule of student presentations.

Expectations for student scholarship, creative work and discovery of knowledge are supported through coursework in the Common Curriculum and in the major. Students conduct a research project in First-Year Seminar, and this experience serves as the foundation for research projects in more advanced courses. Students have the option of meeting the Capstone requirement for the major through the completion of an All College Thesis. This project is often an extended research project or a creative project. Music students present junior and senior recitals; art majors exhibit their work in the senior exhibits at the Gorecki Gallery at the CSB Benedicta Arts Center and at the Alice R. Rogers and Target Gallery at the SJU Art Center.

Faculty are also expected to contribute to scholarship, creative work and discovery of knowledge. The Faculty Handbook (Section 2.5.2) outlines expectations for tenure-track and tenured faculty scholarship and creative work at third-year review, tenure, and promotion to full professor. Faculty scholarship is supported by the institution through grants and sabbaticals. Student-faculty collaborative research is also part of the culture at CSB/SJU. For example, a faculty member in Computer Science has included collaborative research with students on his CV (see underlined names). When hiring tenure track and full-time term faculty, the colleges specify a commitment to undergraduate research is expected and award one faculty member per year who has demonstrated excellence in mentored undergraduate research.
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The institution has the faculty and staff needed for effective, high-quality programs and student services.

1. The institution has sufficient numbers and continuity of faculty members to carry out both the classroom and the non-classroom roles of faculty, including oversight of the curriculum and expectations for student performance; establishment of academic credentials for instructional staff; involvement in assessment of student learning.
2. All instructors are appropriately qualified, including those in dual credit, contractual, and consortial programs.
3. Instructors are evaluated regularly in accordance with established institutional policies and procedures.
4. The institution has processes and resources for assuring that instructors are current in their disciplines and adept in their teaching roles; it supports their professional development.
5. Instructors are accessible for student inquiry.
6. Staff members providing student support services, such as tutoring, financial aid advising, academic advising, and co-curricular activities, are appropriately qualified, trained, and supported in their professional development.

Argument

3.C.1 Faculty

With 300 full-time and 52 part-time faculty, the colleges have sufficient numbers and continuity of faculty members to carry out both the classroom and the non-classroom roles of faculty, including oversight of the curriculum and assessment of student learning, teaching, advising and service. The Faculty Handbook Section 2.10.3 states: “A faculty member’s overall responsibilities include: effective teaching, which is paramount; scholarship and creative work as appropriate to their field; academic advising; service; and the development of professional identity.” The institutions are committed to providing stability in programs: Using AAUP data, 80 percent of full-time faculty are tenured or on a tenure-track. The student-to-faculty ratio has remained around 12:1 for the past five years. The median class size is 19, and the largest classes are 35 students. The small class sizes offer opportunities for students to work closely with faculty and for faculty to provide mentoring to all students. Involvement in faculty governance, as evidenced by the committee composition in the Faculty Handbook, is extensive: 81 faculty are required to serve on standing committees. Faculty are highly involved in oversight of the curriculum and other governance duties.

3.C.2 Faculty Qualifications

Faculty qualification is determined at the time of hire. Faculty are expected to hold a graduate degree in a relevant field. Transcripts are reviewed and references are checked by department (or search committee) chairs to ensure that applicants meet the credentialing requirements set out in the Faculty Handbook section 2.1. Through this process, 100 percent of faculty are academically and experientially qualified to fulfill the faculty role with 90 percent of faculty currently holding the terminal degree in their fields and the remaining with the appropriate graduate degree. A complete listing of faculty and corresponding qualifications are found in the Appendices of the Academic Catalog. The Academic Affairs office maintains curriculum vitae, submitted initially at the time of
hire and updated during program review or more frequently as needed.

3.C.3 Faculty Evaluation

Department chairs or program directors evaluate faculty on a schedule set forth by the Faculty Handbook. This includes a comprehensive evaluation through direct observation, review of syllabi, and a review of student course surveys for term faculty, tenure track faculty prior to third-year review, tenure track faculty prior to tenure review, and tenured faculty. The frequency of chair access to student course surveys is directed by the Faculty Handbook (section 4.3.5.1). Completed evaluations are submitted to the Provost office annually. In addition, the Faculty Handbook (sections 2.8 and 4.2) outlines procedures for a post-tenure review of faculty ten years after tenure or promotion.

Evaluation also occurs through the tenure and promotion processes. These processes are directed by the Faculty Handbook (section 2: Contractual Policies and Procedures). Both CSB and SJU elect faculty peers to Rank and Tenure Committees; these committee members evaluate tenure-track faculty in the third and sixth years and for applications for promotion. Departments and Rank and Tenure Committees draw on student course surveys and on the faculty member’s reflections on course surveys as criteria for evaluating teaching effectiveness. Other criteria include class observations by the chair and department colleagues as well as review of syllabi and other course materials. The Handbook also outlines criteria for evaluating advising, scholarship and creative work, service to the colleges and to the larger community, and professional identity at third year, tenure and promotion (see Sections 2.5, 2.6, 2.7).

3.C.4 Professional Development

Faculty are expected to maintain a current Program for Professional Development (PPD). This document outlines the faculty's teaching, scholarship, advising, service, and professional goals and action plans over a three to five year time period. Faculty members share these plans with their department chair and use the plan to guide participation in professional development opportunities.

Faculty have a number of resources to stay current in their fields and to advance professionally. Each full-time faculty member is granted $750 through the department budget each year for attendance at professional conferences or for presenting scholarship. In addition, funds can be secured through an application process for professional development needs that goes beyond the $750 as well as for curriculum development. These applications go to the Faculty Development and Research Committee for review and approval. The provost, academic dean, and dean of the faculty also hold budgetary authority to grant supplemental professional development funding as the need arises. Sabbaticals are another professional development opportunity available to tenured faculty. After tenure, faculty are eligible to apply for a semester-long sabbatical at full pay or a full-year sabbatical at half pay every seven years, beginning in the year following tenure (see Faculty Handbook, section 2.9.2). For the past 10 years, the colleges have funded sabbaticals for every faculty member whose sabbatical application met the criteria of the Faculty Development and Research Committee. The financial investment in faculty development has been significant. In FY 2016, over $1 million was allocated to sabbaticals, $182,418 granted toward faculty travel, $83,130 in faculty development funding disbursed by FDRC, and $67,488 for supplemental travel.

The colleges have also been successful in securing external funding for faculty development as well. For example, CSB received a $100,000 Andrew W. Mellon Foundation grant for humanities faculty to address teaching and advising our increasingly racially diverse student body, and SJU received a $100,000 Mellon grant to enhance our First-Year Seminar (FYS). With around 20 percent of the faculty participating, gains were reported in the perceived effectiveness of teaching and advising.
American students of color. In addition, the Fruth Family Foundation provided funding to explore **blended learning initiatives**. In this project, 20 faculty participated in advancing teaching effectiveness through blended learning also with positive results.

Faculty have numerous opportunities on campus to improve their teaching effectiveness, ranging from class observations by departmental and other colleagues to assistance offered by the **Learning Enhancement Service** (LES). LES provides a range of services to support faculty teaching and student learning. These include teaching seminars, celebrated teacher sessions (teaching award winners invite faculty into their classes), reading groups, and faculty interest groups. Faculty can request individual sessions, assistance with interpreting and acting upon student course surveys, and mid-course corrections. New faculty are supported by LES through an orientation to the teaching culture, a mentorship program, and community-building activities. Also the Learning Enhancement Service disburses funding up to $750 for faculty who are seeking professional development to improve teaching. In September 2015, the university received a grant from the **Andrew W. Mellon Foundation** to focus on professional development for first-year seminar (FYS) faculty to address successfully teaching, advising and engaging an increasingly diverse student body. The multi-pronged approach included an extensive review of current practices, multiple and dynamic faculty development opportunities and a "crossover evaluation" conducted in partnership with the College of Saint Benedict. In December 2017, CSB and SJU received an additional $600,000 grant from the Mellon Foundation to support initiatives focused on inclusive pedagogy and community building. Additionally, the Council of Independent Colleges selected CSB and SJU to participate in the **Diversity, Civility, and the Liberal Arts Institute**. CSB/SJU will send a team of faculty and administrators to participate in this program to develop realistic plans to enable their institutions to strengthen diversity and civility on campus, both inside and outside the classroom.

The colleges' annual **Technology Day** provides a forum for technology integration and effective use. In addition, IT Services hosts a full range of workshops throughout the academic year focusing on various applications used across the campuses, such as Canvas and SharePoint.

3.C.5 Access to Students

The **Faculty Handbook** (section 2.10.3.2 "Other Faculty Responsibilities") indicates "faculty members are expected to hold office hours for consultation on course work and the advising of students". In addition, faculty are in frequent communication with students via email or through Canvas. Given the small class sizes and culture of faculty accessibility, over 90 percent of **seniors surveyed in 2016** reported that they would describe their relationships with faculty as “excellent” or “good” and over 92 percent of students reportedly discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class. In addition, faculty utilize IA Systems student course surveys. The aggregate results from **Forms A and B** (designed for lecture/discussion courses) indicate that students are satisfied with faculty availability for help outside of class (**survey question 15**, n=11,921, mean=3.99 "good").

3.C.6 Support Services

Staff are appropriately qualified, trained, and supported in their professional development as evidenced by adherence to **hiring policies and procedures**, resumes on file, and a formal program of administrative and support staff development. All **employment ads** specify the credentials required for each position and job descriptions outline the necessary skills and qualifications. Likewise, those jobs related to student support services detail the necessary education and training to effectively administer these programs, such as those in **academic advising**, **counseling and health promotion**, **financial aid**, **education abroad** and **accessibility services**.
Section 4 of the Performance Appraisal Form provides a forum for employees and supervisors to discuss professional/career aspirations and professional development planning. In addition to a wide range of on campus training in areas such as Title IX, conflict resolution, campus safety, etc., staff may apply for professional development funds to meet their development needs. One of four committees (CSB administrative staff, SJU administrative staff, CSB support staff, and SJU support staff) review and approve these applications depending upon the locus of appointment and job classification for the applicant. For example, the Paul Lawson Professional Development fund, a dedicated endowment for SJU administrative employees, funds $16,000-$18,000 in staff professional development per year. Typically, there are 22-28 applicants with 16-20 proposals funded. The most common funding is allocated to work-related conferences. Less commonly, the committee approves staff participation in a relevant graduate course. The Saint John's support staff budget allocation is $7,000 with an average of six applicants per year. In general, across the four committees, around $1,000 is available per applicant for professional development.
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3.D - Core Component 3.D

The institution provides support for student learning and effective teaching.

1. The institution provides student support services suited to the needs of its student populations.
2. The institution provides for learning support and preparatory instruction to address the academic needs of its students. It has a process for directing entering students to courses and programs for which the students are adequately prepared.
3. The institution provides academic advising suited to its programs and the needs of its students.
4. The institution provides to students and instructors the infrastructure and resources necessary to support effective teaching and learning (technological infrastructure, scientific laboratories, libraries, performance spaces, clinical practice sites, museum collections, as appropriate to the institution’s offerings).
5. The institution provides to students guidance in the effective use of research and information resources.

Argument

3.D.1 Support Services

CSB and SJU provide a full array of student support services suited to the learning needs of students. These services include:

- Academic Advising
- Libraries and Information Technology
- English as a Second Language and English for Bilingual Students
- Student Accessibility Services
- Counseling and Health Promotion
- Writing and Math Skills
- Peer Tutors
- Experience and Professional Development
- Global Education
- College Possible

3.D.2 Learning Support

The student support environments at CSB and SJU are "high touch." Overall, the perception of quality of interactions and level of support is significantly higher than our peers (Carnegie Bac/A&S) according to the 2016 National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), perception of quality interactions and support were significantly higher than our peers (Carnegie Bac/A&S). Academic Advising and Student Accessibility Services staff begin working with students well before they arrive on campus. Using an online interactive survey, the Academic Advising Office is able to review students’ academic profiles, aspirations, experience with college-level coursework and a series of questions designed to provide a holistic picture of that student. This allows the Academic Advisors to create first-semester schedules crafted specifically for each student and to assure students are placed appropriately into the correct courses. In addition, global language proficiency is determined using online placement exams. If the student tests at the highest level of proficiency, another exam is administered on campus to assure that the student truly met the global language requirement. Math
placement is based on the math subscores from the ACT and SAT to assure the student is "math ready." Students who test below 21 ACT/530 SAT must pass the Quantitative Skills Inventory (QSI) on campus to move into a math course. If they don't pass the QSI, then they are offered math readiness courses on campus and retake the QSI until they are math ready.

The demand for support services is also evidenced by the growth of Student Accessibility Services (SAS) on campus. In 2010, 131 students reportedly required accommodations, compared to 350 students in 2016. SAS conducts intake interviews with students needing accommodations prior to the start of the school year. This allows staff to create and distribute academic accommodation letters and facilitate the provision of accommodations. The demand for services provided by SAS rose steadily and sharply between between 2010 and 2016, from 131 to 350 students.

Once on campus, four major areas of academic support continue to provide for the needs of students and these services are heavily utilized. The Math Center is identified above. Peer tutors are available in most departments and support students in mastering course content and improve study skills. For diverse students, we have noticed a growing need for academic language services to promote effective learning in the college setting. Although English proficiency is assured at acceptance, English as a Second Language (ESL, serving international students) and English for Bilingual Students (EBL, serving bilingual and multilingual U.S. students) programs offer language advancement courses to strengthen communication and comprehension skills. Enrollment in ESL rose from 32 students in 2015-16 to 47 students this year. EBL student enrollments increased from 45 students in 2015-16 to 60 students this year.

The Writing Center is also an important service provided to students. Over 2,000 students attended writing appointments in 2016-17 with the majority (737) of these students being first-years. These usage statistics are well above average, as measured by The National Census of Writing. For example, the median number of face-to-face visits to four year colleges with similar enrollments in the most recent survey (March 2013-October 2014) was 796, whereas our Writing Center had 1736. Every appointment is recorded on WCOnline, our online appointment software and comments are consistently positive. In the 2016 NSSE, the importance of the Writing Center was affirmed as 93 percent of students agreed to strongly agreed that writing clearly and effectively was a direct result of their college experience. We incorporate the practices of the Writing Center into our facilities planning for the physical space of the center in the libraries.

3.D.3 Academic Advising

Academic advising is a strength of the colleges and occurs in three phases. First, staff from the Office of Academic Advising provide the initial support and placement into the appropriate course sequence. Staff from this office are available to students throughout their four years at the colleges. In addition, the First-Year Seminar professor becomes the student's faculty advisor in the first year. This creates a situation where students see their faculty advisor at least twice a week during the entire first year. At the end of the first year, students are assigned an advisor in their major. This model appears to work well based on student perception. A high percentage of graduating seniors in 2016 (p. 4) rated the quality of academic advising as “Excellent” or “Good” (80.5 percent).

3.D.4 Infrastructure and Resources

CSB and SJU maintain resources and facilities that support effective teaching and learning as evidenced by Strategic Directions 2020, which calls for the colleges to "create learning and academic support spaces that provide opportunities for best pedagogical practices and student success." The resources allocated to the educational enterprise are fully described in 5.A.
Full access to a quality technological infrastructure, scientific laboratories, libraries, performance spaces, clinical practice sites, and museum collections are available to all students, faculty, and staff. The virtual tour feature on the colleges' website allows the visitor to fully explore these spaces using virtual technology.

Technology infrastructure

The campuses are fully wired with computer facilities consisting of 95 presentation-ready classrooms and 29 laboratory/studio classrooms (98 percent of classrooms; 31 percent of laboratories; overall 88 percent of formal learning spaces are presentation-ready), 15 computer lab facilities, and 44 residence hall computer clusters. All classrooms are equipped with digital TV screens or projectors, in-classroom computers, and laptop computer connections. In addition, some classrooms are equipped with Apple TVs or Kramer VIAs to facilitate wireless presentation. These implementations are growing across the campus in an effort to improve the ease of access to present in classrooms.

The colleges have 941 computers available for student use (computer labs, residence halls, and other areas). All areas have modern computers offering the latest versions of software for e-mail, web browsing, office productivity (word processing, spreadsheet, database, and presentation), graphics, and discipline-specific needs. Both campuses offer 3D modeling and printing at no cost for academic use. Computer facilities are available weekdays, evenings, and weekends. Students are not required to own a computer, though over 98 percent of students bring one to campus. Students have access to printers in designated computer lab spaces and can print from both campus computers and their own personal devices.

All faculty are provided a personal computer, either desktop or laptop, as well as access to printers and scanners. IT Services provides technical support to students and faculty Monday through Friday, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., as well as some evening and weekend hours while classes are in session. In addition, training and workshops are offered through IT Services on a variety of software programs and systems available to all faculty, staff and students (e.g., PowerPoint, Excel, Canvas, building web-based course resources, security best practices, 3D printing, etc.). IT Services staff are also available to assist faculty on an individual basis. In addition, the IT Services department meets regularly with faculty to solicit input to determine future information technology needs for the campuses.

The many strengths of the technology infrastructure and support services are evident in the Kaludis Consulting Report of May 2017.

Scientific laboratories

Students have access to excellent laboratory facilities and equipment to support their learning in the Natural Sciences and other fields. These spaces are developed in coordination with faculty in order to ensure that they meet the specific needs for learning outcomes. One example of these spaces is the Nursing Simulation lab, which includes state-of-the-art simulation rooms, a full video recording system, pan-tilt-zoom cameras, and digital screens, all designed with the specific purpose of enhancing the student experience and better preparing students in the nursing major.

Other scientific laboratories include those located in Ardolf Science Center (CSB) and Peter Engel/New Science Center (SJU). These spaces are dedicated to biology, chemistry, nutrition, physics and computer science. Ardolf houses labs for general chemistry as well as organic chemistry, analytical chemistry, physical/inorganic chemistry and biochemistry. The Chemistry department continually updates and modernizes its equipment; the department currently has more than $1 million
in modern instrumentation. The Bailey Herbarium at SJU is the largest private college herbarium in Minnesota with nearly 32,000 specimens of vascular and nonvascular plants, representing both local and global collections ranging in age from modern to over 150 years.

The colleges are surrounded by diverse natural habitats, which serve as a focus for study in both classes and independent research. The 2,900-plus acre Saint John's campus features a large oak forest with stands of maples, pine and spruce, a restored oak savanna and tall grass prairie, both natural and restored wetlands and a diversity of large and small lakes known as the Arboretum and Outdoor U. The College of Saint Benedict also owns about 300 acres of natural habitats, including prairie and wetland restorations and 100 acres of relatively undisturbed "big woods" deciduous forest on the campus.

**Libraries**

Clemens Library (CSB), built in 1986, comprises 55,000 square feet, has a shelving capacity of 200,000 volumes, includes five group study rooms, and maintains a seating capacity of 372. Student use of the building is heavy, with all study areas well populated in the afternoon and evenings, particularly the group study rooms. In our first year of collecting group study room usage data, the five rooms were reserved 4,723 times during the academic year.

Alcuin Library (SJU) was designed by modernist architect Marcel Breuer and built in 1964. While architecturally-distinguished, the building became insufficient to support best practices in contemporary teaching and learning. The 90,000-square foot building was completely remodeled in 2016, reopening in January 2017 with a student-centered design. In August 2017, the connected Learning Commons opened, providing an additional 20,000 square feet of learning spaces. Library staff rehoused the nearly 500,000-volume collection in high-density shelving, freeing up space for new functions while retaining the significant legacy collection on site. The renovated library and addition have over 600 seats and include new collaborative rooms, classrooms, IT Services training and assistance, the Writing Center, a World Languages Center, and expanded Media Services and Archives. The new Learning Commons supports constantly evolving modes of digital scholarship by providing equitable access to resources, especially equipment and software, for everyone on campus; making space available for experimentation and collaboration; and housing technology experts who can provide assistance, training and consultation.

Both libraries are responsible for providing collections and services that meet the curricular and research needs of our community. While the role of academic libraries has been rapidly progressing beyond collections, we continue to add value to the educational experience of students through the quality of our information resources. While our print book circulation has declined consistent with national library trends, use of ebooks, electronic journals and other online resources has increased. Continual changes in access and delivery platforms for digital resources make it challenging to track usage over time. However, with one representative scholarly publisher, JSTOR, we saw an increase in ejournal use of nine percent, and a nearly 300 percent increase in ebook use from 2016 to 2017. We continue to buy resources in the formats best suited for use, meeting both our commitment to local curricular support and our national collecting responsibility.

**Performance venues**

The colleges maintain venues for rehearsal and performance of concerts, plays and other fine arts and community events. The Escher Auditorium at CSB seats over 1,000 and the Stephen B. Humphrey Theater at SJU seats 500. Smaller venues at CSB include the Gorecki Family Theater, the Colman Black Box Theater, the outdoor Darnall Amphitheater, and the Helgeson Dance Studio. The colleges
hold lectures and readings in many of these spaces as well as in SJU’s Pellegrine Auditorium.

**Museum collections**

The Hill Museum & Manuscript Library (HMML) at SJU holds the world’s largest archive of manuscript photographs in both microfilm and digital format. HMML identifies manuscript collections around the world that need photographic preservation. HMML’s archives now contain more than 200,000 complete manuscripts.

**Practice sites**

The Nursing department holds over 300 clinical contracts with clinical practice sites including hospitals, schools, community health sites, public health agencies, clinics, and nursing homes. These sites are sufficient for meeting the clinical hours needed in the major as demonstrated by the CCNE program approval.

3.D.5 Student Guidance

The baccalaureate degree program engages students in collecting, analyzing and communicating information; in mastering modes of inquiry or creative work; and in developing skills adaptable to changing environments from the first day of First-Year Seminar through the culminating Capstone presentation (see elaboration in 3.B.3).

Faculty and library staff are responsible for providing guidance to students in the effective use of research and information resources. CSB and SJU hire well-qualified staff in the libraries; all librarians hold an ALA-accredited degree in Library and Information Studies. Beginning with First-Year Seminar, librarians assist students with research projects focusing on information literacy.

Reference librarians meet with classes at all levels to provide direction as students search for, evaluate and document sources of information. An online form allows students to make individual appointments with reference librarians, and a “chat” feature of the library page allows librarians to address questions immediately. At both libraries, reference librarians are available to answer students’ questions and to help them use databases and other tools. Students and other patrons can request research help from a librarian by online chat, text, email or a scheduled appointment as well as drop-in assistance.

The Libraries have implemented various assessments to measure student learning progress toward information literacy goals. In spring 2017, for example, librarians tested students’ ability to match research needs and search strategies to research tools; and to design and refine search strategies based on search results. Librarians also tested a flipped classroom method to improve student learning in media and library-related information literacy activities with considerable success. Metrics from Media Services have affirmed attention to skills adaptable to a changing environment. In fall 2017 alone, Media Services worked with 22 faculty in 31 courses with 613 students, to teach video creation and editing, 3D design, Sketch Up, and Lightboard in support of teaching and learning.

**Sources**

- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_2016 Senior Survey Report 20170826
3.E - Core Component 3.E

The institution fulfills the claims it makes for an enriched educational environment.

1. Co-curricular programs are suited to the institution’s mission and contribute to the educational experience of its students.
2. The institution demonstrates any claims it makes about contributions to its students’ educational experience by virtue of aspects of its mission, such as research, community engagement, service learning, religious or spiritual purpose, and economic development.

Argument

3.E.1 Co-curricular Programs

CSB and SJU host a range of co-curricular programs, which contribute to the educational experience of students. Community is an especially important part of the CSB/SJU campus culture. Most students live on campus and participate in one or more campus clubs and organizations. The emphases for co-curricular programs are leadership and service, living in community, and holistic development, all directly connected to the missions. Together CSB and SJU Student Development departments share common goals for students:

- Initiate, organize and be responsible for their own ongoing learning.
- Develop the skills necessary to succeed in the world of work.
- Practice inclusive dialogue, problem solving and written and verbal communication skills.
- Integrate physical, emotional, social, spiritual, intellectual and occupational aspects of their development.
- Develop a capacity for shared ethical leadership and become agents of social change.
- Develop the capacity for life-long service to community.
- Create true partnerships between men and women which are based on equity, integrity and respect.
- Seek and value diversity in every aspect of their lives.
- Develop the capacity to create global community.
- Develop the capacity to reflect on the nature of one's purpose for existence through the process of spiritual reflection.

While committed to providing a unified educational experience for all students, each college retains its own campus, residence halls, athletic programs and traditions. CSB Student Development activities focus on the development of women and SJU Student Development activities focus on the development of men. Through unique programming, each college is committed to serving the needs of the gender it represents. Each campus hosts:

- Residential Life programming
- Athletics (varsity, club and intramural)
- Campus Ministry
- Career Development programming
- 90-plus shared clubs and organizations
- Health Promotion programming
- International and Intercultural Student Services and Programs
Recreation programming

Student Activities and Leadership Development (SALD)
  - CSB — Sister Nancy Hynes Institute for Women's Leadership
  - SJU — Men's Development Institute

CSB Residential Life has designed a four-year residential living experience focused on women's development as leaders and citizens of the world. This is achieved through a cohort model for housing, grounded in Catholic and Benedictine traditions, which supports women's holistic development through the experience of community living.

Similarly, through its Residential Life program, Saint John's University promotes the development of men within the context of living and learning in community. Saint John's creates a supportive learning environment that encourages respect for others, good stewardship and tolerance, while assisting students in their vocational discernment.

Student Activities and Leadership Development (SALD) sustains a vibrant campus life through social programming and leadership development. SALD oversees clubs, advises the Joint Events Council, and coordinates the Student Orientation program. Among the clubs and organizations are pre-professional clubs, including the Pre-Law Society and the Pre-Dentistry Club; clubs affiliated with majors, including the French Club, Math Society and the Drama Club; and special-interest clubs, including the student newspaper staff, *The Record*, the editorial board of the literary journal, *Studio One*, and KNJB Radio.

As a result, the vast majority of students in the [2016 Senior Survey](#) indicated that the sense of campus community is excellent or good (86.1 percent). Other indicators of satisfaction with the residential experience are equally positive: 77 percent were satisfied with the co-curricular opportunities, 80 percent were satisfied with the social experience, 70 percent are satisfied with recreational facilities, and 84 percent spent at least one hour per week on co-curricular club activities with over one in five participating 6-10 hours per week.

3.E.2 Mission-based Claims

Our mission-based claims include an excellent residential, liberal arts educational experience in the Catholic and Benedictine traditions with an emphasis on serving others, leadership and global engagement. (See also 3.B). The accuracy of these claims are evident in exiting senior and alumnae/i surveys and student participation and satisfaction rates with various activities.

*Excellent educational experience*

Results from our most recent surveys of alumnae/i indicate that over 90 percent of our alums described the level of academic challenge, our commitment to academic excellence and our level of instruction as excellent or good. Additionally, alumnae/i indicated that their education contributed to their acquiring broad knowledge (81 percent), communicating well (83 percent), and writing effectively (86 percent). [Graduating seniors](#) indicated their education at CSB/SJU contributed to thinking critically about complex issues (90 percent), communicating effectively (83 percent), writing persuasively (82 percent), using quantitative reasoning (76 percent), and effective learning on their own (90 percent).

In addition, 90 percent of alumnae/i believed that the liberal arts education at CSB/SJU significantly contributed to their personal and professional development; over 86 percent indicated that CSB/SJU prepared them well for their careers and over 95 percent of those attending graduate or professional
school credited CSB/SJU with preparing them well; 90 percent of respondents credited CSB/SJU with giving them the ability to work well in teams; 83 percent with embracing challenge and accepting risks.

*Spiritual purpose*

The most recent surveys indicated that nearly nine in 10 of alumnae/i respondents credit CSB/SJU with developing them to perform their work ethically and with integrity; over 80 percent credited CSB/SJU with contributing to their own understanding of themselves and their spirituality, as well as developing a meaningful life purpose. The majority reportedly integrate Catholic (60 percent) and Benedictine (80 percent) values into their daily lives.

*Service, leadership, global engagement*

The most recent surveys indicated 69 percent (SJU) to 79 percent (CSB) of alumnae/i respondents participated in community service or volunteer activities while at the colleges. Over 70 percent credit the colleges with developing their leadership skills. Graduating seniors reported participating in community service or volunteer activities one to five hours (50 percent) or six to 10 hours (five percent) per week. Experiences at CSB and SJU contributed to graduates' working effectively as a team member (88 percent), leadership skills (87 percent), building a professional network (75 percent) and taking initiative (85 percent).

Alumnae/i and student surveys indicated that they attribute to CSB/SJU their ability to understand social, civic, or political issues and to be engaged. *Washington Monthly* listed both CSB and SJU among the top 50 liberal arts colleges in the nation in 2017. Schools are rated based on their contributions to the public good. CSB and SJU are leaders in the production of Fulbright Scholars (CSB ranked 26th among all baccalaureate colleges nationally), as well as ranked 20th nationally in Peace Corps Top Colleges. A CSB alum was awarded a Rhodes Scholarship in 2016. These and many other successes are identified on the Admissions and Financial Aid webpage.

**Sources**

- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_2016 Senior Survey Report 20170826
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Alum Survey Class 2010-2014 20170826
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Bragging Rights webpage
- CSBSJU_SALD Clubs List
- CSBSJU_SALD mission
- STD DEV_learning goals
3.S - Criterion 3 - Summary

The institution provides high quality education, wherever and however its offerings are delivered.

Summary

Our shared mission is to create an excellent residential, liberal arts educational experience in the Catholic and Benedictine traditions with an emphasis on serving others, leadership and global engagement. The student academic experience is one of small class sizes, a rigorous curriculum, and extensive support services.

The quality of education is supported by a highly qualified and engaged faculty whose primary role is to teach and mentor students. Faculty are principally full-time and tenured. The financial and opportunity investment in faculty development is significant. Faculty have access to funding and numerous opportunities to improve their teaching effectiveness.

The quality of education is evidenced by student learning through the Common Curriculum and in our 35 academic majors and 41 minors. The Common Curriculum promotes the breadth and depth of learning expected of a liberal arts education. Departments and programs undergo a comprehensive program review every 10 years, which promotes currency and appropriate rigor. Students are academically challenged and satisfied with the quality and rigor of the academic programs. Faculty are highly engaged in the development, implementation and assessment of the curricula.

The quality of education is enhanced by a "high touch" supportive environment and learning facilities. From enrollment through graduation and beyond, students have access to academic advising, accessibility services, libraries, technology support, a writing center, a math center, tutoring, health promotion and counseling services, academic language services, experience and professional development services.

The residential, co-curricular experience is also a strength of the colleges and contributes to a high-quality education. CSB and SJU host a range of co-curricular programs, which contribute to the educational experience of students.

Sources

There are no sources.
4 - Teaching and Learning: Evaluation and Improvement

The institution demonstrates responsibility for the quality of its educational programs, learning environments, and support services, and it evaluates their effectiveness for student learning through processes designed to promote continuous improvement.

4.A - Core Component 4.A

The institution demonstrates responsibility for the quality of its educational programs.

1. The institution maintains a practice of regular program reviews.
2. The institution evaluates all the credit that it transcripts, including what it awards for experiential learning or other forms of prior learning, or relies on the evaluation of responsible third parties.
3. The institution has policies that assure the quality of the credit it accepts in transfer.
4. The institution maintains and exercises authority over the prerequisites for courses, rigor of courses, expectations for student learning, access to learning resources, and faculty qualifications for all its programs, including dual credit programs. It assures that its dual credit courses or programs for high school students are equivalent in learning outcomes and levels of achievement to its higher education curriculum.
5. The institution maintains specialized accreditation for its programs as appropriate to its educational purposes.
6. The institution evaluates the success of its graduates. The institution assures that the degree or certificate programs it represents as preparation for advanced study or employment accomplish these purposes. For all programs, the institution looks to indicators it deems appropriate to its mission, such as employment rates, admission rates to advanced degree programs, and participation rates in fellowships, internships, and special programs (e.g., Peace Corps and Americorps).

Argument

4.A.1 Program Reviews

Since Academic Year (AY) 2001, the College of Saint Benedict (CSB) and Saint John’s University (SJU) have followed a Program Review Process that examines all majors, minors, academic programs and academic offices (including the Registrar, Office of Experience and Professional Development, and Center for Global Education) every eight to 10 years. The Student Development Offices on each campus follow a similar review process. All program reviews are housed within an intranet (SharePoint) site, accessible to all faculty, administration, and staff.

The academic Program Review Process includes a number of important steps:

1) An extensive self-study reflecting on mission, learning goals, assessment data (including alumnae/i surveys), and resources for the program. It also includes a list of questions to guide the external review process. Academic Affairs, the Office of Academic Assessment and Effectiveness (OAAE), and the assessment subcommittee overseen by the faculty committee, Academic Policies,
Standards, and Assessment Committee (APSAC), review self-study drafts.

2) Review of the self study by an external evaluator, which includes a two-day site visit, and responds with an independent evaluation and recommendations.

3) A departmental action plan shaped to guide the program for the next five to ten years. Academic Affairs, OAAE, and APSAC review all departmental action plans, which ultimately are presented first to the provost for approval and then to the Academic Affairs Committee of the boards and to the CSB and SJU Boards of Trustees for final endorsement. Academic departments and programs annually provide progress or change reports related to their action plan to Academic Affairs, OAAE and APSAC. Midpoint-Program Reviews are completed should the action plan require major reform or has been completed. The Program Review Process records, sustains and supports improvement of academic excellence at CSB and SJU. A dashboard highlights historic department/program participation in program as well as annual reviews.

Examples of actions resulting from program review include:

1. Restructuring of the SJU School of Theology (graduate study) and the undergraduate CSB/SJU Theology department have created greater autonomy for both as well as improved coordination for staffing purposes. Their program review also resulted in revised learning outcomes for the introductory (THEO111) and upper-division theology courses required of all students, a faculty hire in comparative religion, and advising and community-building initiatives designed to increase the number of majors and minors.

2. Renaming and reconfiguration of the Natural Science major to an Integrated Science major better meets our student's interests in interdisciplinary interactions in STEM as well as future interdisciplinary demands in science and health.

3. Renaming and reconfiguration of the former Modern & Classical Languages & Literatures department to Languages & Cultures has allowed the department to successfully incorporate the former Humanities major (now named European Studies) and to update the French Studies major to better align students' needs and staffing on campus.

4. Development of courses in the technology of music production and delivery in the Music department has increased their offerings in contemporary musical genres and modes of performance.

5. Responding to student survey data, the counsel of an external evaluator, and their own self-assessment, the Environmental Studies program is building the social science element of their offerings with a new faculty member hired in AY 2018 specializing in the intersection of energy extraction, climate justice, and grassroots organization.

6. Having met the curricular revision goals arising from program review in AY 2011, the Political Science department conducted CSB/SJU’s pilot Midpoint Program review in 2015-2016, sending their revised curriculum to the same external evaluator, who confirmed the wisdom of their revisions and urged increased hiring in the International Relations area. As a result, the Political Science department hired a tenure-track faculty member for AY 2019 with expertise in Latin American politics.

The Program Review Process and the annual reports that are part of that process, allows Academic Affairs to identify priorities among departments and programs as we allocate faculty lines and resources. For example, Nutrition's program review in AY 2017 verified a need for a faculty member who has both a RDN (Registered Dietitian) and PhD in a relevant field to meet their developing curricular needs. As a result, Academic Affairs approved the conversion of a full-time term position to a tenure-track position which belonged to an excellent RDN/PhD faculty member.
4.A.2 and 4.A.3 Evaluation and Quality of Credit

Three faculty committees authorize the CSB/SJU academic program policies governing the transcription of credits: the Academic Curriculum Committee (ACC), responsible for department and program credits; the Common Curriculum Committee (CCC), responsible for general education credits; and, the Academic Policies, Standards, and Assessment Committee (APSAC), responsible for academic policies. Each is described in the Faculty Handbook. The policies and guidelines approved by the committees are strictly adhered to by the Registrar’s Office and Academic Advising when they work with students who seek credit for coursework completed outside of CSB/SJU. The online Academic Catalog as well as the Registrar’s Office homepage clearly articulate policies that regulate approved coursework.

Credits earned outside CSB/SJU

These policies apply to transfer, readmitted and new first-year students or any current students who plan to take summer courses and/or transfer credits to complete their degree requirements. The policies are clearly articulated in our online course catalog and the Registrar’s Office homepage. All transfer coursework is evaluated on an individual basis, but our general guidelines state: "CSB/SJU will accept credits from another college that meet the following criteria: 1) The transfer institution must be regionally accredited (i.e. Higher Learning Commission); 2) A grade of C or higher is required in order for the coursework to transfer; 3) The course level must be equivalent to college level coursework (normally 100 level or higher) and credit bearing; 4) The course content must be similar to courses offered at CSB/SJU." Transfer coursework is evaluated for its applicability for elective credits, general education requirements and, if approved by the respective department chair, major or minor degree requirements. Grades earned for transferred coursework are not calculated into the student's cumulative GPA.

Students who matriculated at CSB/SJU prior to fall 2017 must earn at least 45 of the 124 from CSB/SJU. Those students must earn at least half of the credits required for their academic major, excluding supporting courses, from CSB/SJU. Beginning with new students who enrolled in fall 2017, at least 76 of the 124 credits required for a degree must be residential credits earned from CSB/SJU. Residential credits include credits earned at CSB/SJU or in one of its sponsored or approved study abroad programs. At least half of the credits required for a major, excluding supporting courses, must be earned from CSB/SJU. For transfer students, at least 45 of the 124 credits required for a degree must be residential credits earned from CSB/SJU.

International student transfer credits

Incoming international transfer students who attended a college or university outside of the United States are required to have an official World Evaluation Services (WES) evaluation completed and submitted to the Registrar’s Office.

Advanced Placement (AP), International Baccalaureate (IB) and College-Level Examination Program (CLEP)

CSB/SJU revise and publish annual policies on score requirements for each AP, IB and CLEP test. The Registrar’s Office coordinates that work for each academic area. To receive credit, the student must have the official test results mailed directly to the CSB/SJU Registrar’s Office.

Study abroad credits
Students earn CSB/SJU credit through approved off-campus programs. The Academic Curriculum Committee (as well as the Common Curriculum Committee when courses include designations towards general education requirements) approves international study courses, irrespective of whether those courses are instructed by CSB/SJU faculty or designated faculty at off-site accredited institutions. Courses considered as elective that have not been pre-approved through the above process but are taught regularly are evaluated similarly to transfer credits.

Students choosing abroad programs not offered through CSB/SJU must submit a study abroad application. The program must be approved by the Center for Global Education with appropriate, designated guidelines. Credits must be approved using the transfer policies described above. Courses counting towards a major, minor or the Common Curriculum must also follow the appropriate policies for approval (see section 4.A.3).

*Internships and independent research*

Experiential learning opportunities require CSB/SJU faculty supervision. The most common form of credit-bearing opportunities are internships, independent research, and All-College Thesis opportunities. The Office of Experience and Professional Development oversees a policy on the credit allowance and facilitation of academic internships. Independent student research requires faculty supervision and may be conducted for credit or not for credit. Policies offering credit to independent research opportunities are facilitated through Independent Learning Projects. Students can also gain independent research credits through the All-College Thesis.

*Military*

Credit for programs completed through the military are evaluated by the Registrar’s Office and Academic Advising per guidelines established by the American Council on Education.

*Evaluation for credit that counts towards a Major, Minor or Common Curriculum requirement*

Transfer course work is evaluated for its applicability of elective credits, Common Curriculum requirements and, if approved by the respective department chair, major or minor degree requirements. CSB/SJU has standards and policies to ensure the quality of the transfer credit we accept. In addition to the general guidelines noted above, the Academic Curriculum Committee, the Common Curriculum Committee and the academic dean have granted the Registrar’s Office the authority to review course descriptions of transfer credits to be applied as elective credits or towards Common Curriculum requirements. The Registrar’s Office follows guidelines authorized by these committees. When courses do not meet our guidelines, students are directed to the Academic Advising Office for further evaluation for elective credits or Common Curriculum requirements.

Grades earned for transferred coursework are not calculated into the student's cumulative GPA. A preliminary transcript evaluation is completed once an unofficial or official transcript is received. The Registrar’s Office must receive an official transcript directly from the college at which the courses were taken to complete a final transcript evaluation and for application of credits the student’s CSB or SJU record. Grades from designated, approved courses as part of a study abroad program and approved internship and independent research credits are calculated into the student's cumulative GPA.

4.A.4 Authority over Courses

Institutional authority is authorized at various levels to maintain rigor, student expectations, access to
learning resources, and faculty qualifications for all programs offered at CSB/SJU. The Board of Trustees provides oversight of student learning at multiple levels. For example, the board and its Academic Affairs Committee endorse all program reviews, institutional policies bearing on faculty appointment, promotion, tenure and dismissal. The committee receives regular updates on curricular matters.

The Academic Affairs Office has direct oversight of curricular matters at all levels. Representatives of the office act as ex-officio members of faculty governance committees and are responsible for hiring and maintaining excellent faculty and providing oversight on learning facilities. The Joint Faculty Assembly, the governing body of the CSB/SJU faculty, is responsible for the educational goals of CSB/SJU as defined in the Faculty Handbook. This responsibility includes admission and graduation requirements as well as curricular oversight. In addition, a faculty-elected and constructed Joint Faculty Senate (JFS) "deliberate[s] and make[s] decisions on matters related to the academic policies and programs, faculty rights and responsibilities, and the general academic environment of the institutions. The JFS communicates and consults with faculty, administration staff and the boards in formulating, developing and implementing the CSB/SJU educational mission.” Faculty committees, including the Academic Curriculum Committee, Common Curriculum Committee, and Academic Policies, Standards and Assessment Committee, maintain oversight and approval of different aspects of the curricula.

Course prerequisites

All prerequisites for a given course must be specified in the initial course proposal, which requires evaluation and approval by the Academic Curriculum Committee. The Academic Catalog specifies all prerequisites in each course description. The Registrar’s Office maintains course descriptions, titles and prerequisites. In addition, prerequisites for each course are highlighted during the registration process. Students who have not completed course prerequisites must seek approval from the instructor or department chair prior to enrollment. Prerequisites for courses proposing a designation in the Common Curriculum must also be listed in the proposal and evaluated by the Common Curriculum Committee.

Rigor

Rigor is the responsibility of Academic Affairs and the faculty. Faculty, with oversight from Academic Affairs, is responsible for the implementation of academic programs, policies, and admission and graduation requirements. Faculty work to maintain high academic standards for student learning across the curricula. Rigor of a course is evaluated using several criteria: 1) Credit hours demand an expectation of student learning time. As defined in the Academic Catalog, “one credit ordinarily represents three hours of work each week, including private study and research as well as scheduled class meetings.” The expected hours of student work increases as the number of credits increases. 2) Course level: courses are designated as 100, 200 (both lower-division undergraduate courses), 300 (upper-division undergraduate courses), or 400 level courses (graduate courses). The rigor expected of each level has recently been defined and will be added to the Academic Catalog in AY 2019. 3) Grades faculty are responsible for applying a grade to student work. Expectations for specific letter grades are delineated in the Academic Catalog. 4) Finally, as defined in the Faculty Handbook, faculty are evaluated on their “teaching effectiveness.” Part of this measurement includes the “ability to identify course-appropriate student learning goals.” Inherent in this measurement is a faculty’s understanding and commitment to appropriate rigor in each of his/her courses.

As described earlier, rigor of transfer credits is regulated by policies that ensure coursework is similar to those taken at CSB/SJU.
Support for student learning requires an effective structure. Academic Affairs, including the provost, academic dean, and dean of the faculty maintain institutional authority over student learning. Department and program chairs facilitate and oversee learning within each individual program. This includes oversight over the curricula, facilities and budget. We recently appointed a director of the general education curriculum to oversee the learning experience within the general education program. Academic Affairs will oversee the Academic Success Center, currently under development. When complete, the Academic Success Center will include Academic Advising, Student Accessibility Services, the Office of Experience and Professional Development, the Libraries, the Honors program, Undergraduate Research, Competitive Fellowships, the Writing Center, and the Math Skills Center. In its mission to “empower students to learn and connect,” the center will provide comprehensive and integrated support to student learning and experiential opportunities. Student Accessibility Services (SAS) provides ongoing support to our student community. SAS recommends individualized accommodations for students with documented disabilities. Needs are communicated to relevant faculty for implementation. The office seeks to ensure that all students with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in both academic and non-academic campus activities.

The library, an important academic support service, has a large and growing investment in information literacy services. The library staff of 31 professionals seeks to incorporate national trends and local needs for enhanced learning opportunities for students encountering the complex array of information types and formats. The libraries connect to students via the common curriculum through the First Year Seminar and the developing First-Year Experience. The library also supports the All-College Thesis program.

The registrar is responsible for student course enrollment and regulates access to course resources. The director of environmental health and safety regulates access to laboratory settings and ensures that faculty, staff and students are properly trained to work in these conditions.

Faculty qualifications

As defined in Chapter 7 of the Department Chair’s Handbook, the Provost’s Office evaluates all requests for tenure track positions and presents final recommendations to the presidents of CSB and SJU. If approved, the department chair of the respective program establishes a hiring committee that works closely with the dean of the faculty and the Human Resources department to follow appropriate procedures during the hiring process.

Ranks are established as defined in section 2.1 of the Faculty Handbook. Section 2.1.3 states that, “at the time of initial appointment of a full-time or reduced-load faculty member, the provost, in consultation with the dean of the faculty (including the dean of the School of Theology when appropriate), the academic dean, the senior budget analyst, and the department chair, makes a judgment about rank for the initial contract using the criteria.” This includes an earned degree of an appropriate nature depending on the rank.

When students study abroad as a part of our approved programs, they have access to qualified faculty. On 15 of our 17 programs, a CSB/SJU faculty member travels and teaches at least one course. In addition, at some locations the Center for Global Education will work with partner sites to hire faculty with qualifications similar to those specified in the Faculty Handbook. In other cases, the Center will arrange with a partner school to hire appropriate faculty to teach designated courses.

Dual credit
CSB/SJU holds a dual credit option with the SJU School of Theology. CSB and SJU students can complete a bachelor's of arts and either a master's of arts in ministry or master of theological studies degree in five years. Undergraduate students must earn a minimum of a 3.2 grade point average in theological coursework to enroll in the five-year program.

4.A.5 Specialized Accreditation

The following CSB/SJU programs are accredited by specialized accrediting agencies:

- The Nursing department is accredited by the Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE). The department was last reaccredited by CCNE in AY 2017. The next reaccreditation visit is scheduled for AY 2027. Additionally, the department is approved by the Minnesota Board of Nursing (MBON). Continuing program approval is received annually from the MBON through demonstration of national accreditation and compliance with MBON rules through completion of the annual compliance survey.
- The Didactic Program in Dietetics, an area of concentration within the Nutrition department, is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Education in Nutrition and Dietetics (ACEND) of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. The department had their last positive reaccreditation in April 2016. Reaccreditation is required every seven years.
- The CSB/SJU Chemistry department is approved by the American Chemical Society (ACS) and in this capacity is authorized to confer ACS-certified degrees. In 2008, the ACS Committee on Professional Development (ACS-CPT) made significant changes to its program guidelines and approval process. The ACS-CPT changes allowed the Chemistry department to pursue nationally recognized curriculum reform while maintaining ACS approval. Curricular innovations at CSB/SJU were funded in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF) to the CSB/SJU Chemistry department (Chris Schaller, PI). Dissemination of this reform has been accomplished through publications in peer-reviewed journals focused on chemical education, numerous presentations and workshops at national meetings on chemistry and chemical education, as well as media coverage from Chemical and Engineering News and the Wall Street Journal. The Chemistry department's ACS membership was most recently approved in 2013. The department is currently engaged in the renewal process with continued approval expected in Spring 2018.
- The Education Department adheres to all state and national accreditation requirements programs and were approved by NCATE (CAEP — Council for Accreditation of Education Programs) and the Minnesota Board of Teaching in 2012. State accreditation must be renewed every two years. The program was approved by EPPAS (Educator Preparation Program Application System) in Fall 2014. The next NCATE (now CAEP) accreditation occurs in AY 2019.
- The Music department is accredited by the National Association of Schools of Music (NASM) and successfully completed its latest reaccreditation in July 2014. The next reaccreditation visit by NASM occurs in AY 2023.

4.A.6 Success of our Graduates

CSB/SJU annually collect and disseminate information about the success of our graduates, primarily through a “First Destination Survey” of the graduating class and an annual survey of alumnae and alumni three years after graduation.

The First Destination Survey collects data on employment, service and education outcomes of students immediately following their graduation from CSB or SJU. Administered by the Office of
Experience and Professional Development, the survey collects information over a 12-month period from the point of graduation. The number of contacts varies from one to six, depending on the graduates’ status at the time of contact. The commitment to repeated contact over an extended period of time has resulted in very high participation rates. Among 2016 graduates, 97 percent of CSB graduates and 94 percent of SJU graduates provided information about their employment, service or education status. The class of 2016 reported a situated rate of greater than 99 percent, confirming that they were able to find opportunities upon leaving CSB/SJU. In total, 81 percent of CSB graduates and 90 percent of SJU graduates in 2016 reported full-time employment, inclusive of paid employment, military service, and full-time volunteer service. Approximately 18 percent of CSB graduates and ten percent of SJU graduates indicated that they were continuing their education. Less than one percent indicated that they remained unemployed and still seeking opportunities 12 months after having graduated.

We widely disseminate results of the First Destination Survey on campus and on our institutional website. The full report on recent graduates is available on the Office of Experience and Professional Development webpage under the heading “Graduate Outcomes” after opening "Career Planning and Resources." In addition, we post a multiyear searchable database on the “Consumer Information” webpage under the heading “Graduate Outcomes.” The site allows anyone to search graduate outcomes by major, location, name of business and continuing education degree, among other choices. The site currently includes results for six graduating classes. CSB and SJU are two of only three colleges in Minnesota that make a searchable database of recent graduates available on their website.

The annual alumnae and alumni survey provides evidence of what graduates are doing three years after earning their degree at CSB/SJU. The survey also provides an opportunity to gather information about our graduates’ assessment of the quality of their experiences at CSB/SJU as well as their perceptions of the how their experiences here have contributed to their personal and professional development. The Class of 2014 survey was fielded in summer 2017. In total, 41 percent of 2014 CSB alumnae and 31 percent of 2014 SJU alumni completed the survey. The results point to important outcomes. More than 90 percent described the level of academic challenge, our commitment to academic excellence and achievement, and the overall quality of instruction they received as excellent or good. Eighty percent also rated the quality of the academic advice they received at CSB/SJU as excellent or good. Nearly three-quarters rated the quality of career and professional advice they received similarly. Nearly eight in 10 CSB and SJU graduates described their work as meaningful. Three-quarters said their current work used skills they developed as students at CSB/SJU. The vast majority of 2014 graduates who completed the survey described their primary activity as employed (75 percent). Most of the rest (19 percent) indicated that they were enrolled in graduate or professional school. One in 10 graduates had already completed graduate or professional degrees (most of them master's degrees). An additional 25 percent indicated that they are pursuing a master’s degree, 11 percent a professional degree, and five percent a doctoral degree. Survey results are available to the campus community in SharePoint on the Institutional Planning and Research website under the header “Reports.”

CSB/SJU also reports data about its graduates gathered by external sources. The success indicators reported on the Bragging Rights page of our Admission website include:

- CSB ranked 26th among all baccalaureate colleges nationally in the production of Fulbright scholars in 2016-17. In 2016, CSB 2014 graduate Rachel Mullin was awarded a Rhodes Scholarship.
- In 2015, CSB ranked 20th nationally in the Peace Corps Top Colleges 2015 rankings of small
colleges and universities. Since its establishment in 1961, 145 CSB graduates have served in the Peace Corps.

- The *Wall Street Journal* ranked SJU 13th nationally for best returns on investment (ROI) for liberal arts majors. The story, headlined “Are Prestigious Private Colleges Worth the Cost?” showed SJU tied with Yale University and Colgate University with an annual ROI of 6.5 percent.
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- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_2017 11 13 Student Success Center Learning Outcomes_20180102
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Academic Internship_20171020
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_ACC Course proposal_20171020
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_All College Thesis_20170831
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_CGE-External Study Abroad Program Process_20171020
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_CHEM_ACS Accreditation Letter 2013
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_CHEM-ACS Accreditation Letter 2013_20180105
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Course Numbers and Academic Rigor_20170831
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Credit definition_20180103
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Deptartment Chair Handbook Ch. 7_20171005
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_ELED12-13MBT ER_20180105
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_ELED12-13NCATE ER_20180105
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_FHB_5.4.2.1 an 5.4.4.1 JFS_20180102
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_FHB-2.1 Faculty Rank_20180102
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_FHB-2.5.1 Teaching Effectiveness_20171005
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_FHB-5.0.1 Faculty Governance_20171003
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_FHB-Respective sections in part 5_20171004
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Foundations of Excellence Comprehensive Report_20170922
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Graduate Outcomes Database_20170103.pdf
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Guiding Documents for Annual Reports and Program Review_20170922
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Hiring Process and Guidelines_20171031
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Independent Learning Project_20171020
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Institutional Planning and Research survey data_20171031
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_JFA responsibilities_20180214
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Link-Bragging Rights_20171006
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Link-Fulbright Scholars_20171006
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_MUSC_NASM - commission action report 8-2014
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_NRSG CCNE Accreditation approval November 2017
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_NUTR-Dietetics ACEND letter_20180105
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Program Review Schedule_20180102
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Program Review-Annual Report Dashboard_20170923
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Programs and Courses of Study_20171020
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Registrar_Credits-Grades policies_20170103
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Registrar_Transfer and Transcript Credit Policies_20171003
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_Rigor definition with course number_20180102
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS_ACS_Listing-2018.02.01
- IR_Class of 2014 Alum Survey
- PRES CSB_Committee Charters_20161121
• Program Review Criteria (Dec2015)

The institution demonstrates a commitment to educational achievement and improvement through ongoing assessment of student learning.

1. The institution has clearly stated goals for student learning and effective processes for assessment of student learning and achievement of learning goals.
2. The institution assesses achievement of the learning outcomes that it claims for its curricular and co-curricular programs.
3. The institution uses the information gained from assessment to improve student learning.
4. The institution’s processes and methodologies to assess student learning reflect good practice, including the substantial participation of faculty and other instructional staff members.

Argument

This accreditation review occurs at a point when CSB/SJU are undertaking several simultaneous efforts to improve and integrate student learning in the curricular and co-curricular spheres. Additionally, we are in the final stages of reforming our general education curriculum. The argument in 4.B, therefore, indicates developing efforts where appropriate but also necessarily emphasizes the learning goals and their assessment in the current curricular and co-curricular programs. With these significant changes occurring as we complete this assurance argument, we look forward to reporting on our progress, improvements, and initial assessment of outcomes at our HLC Assurance Review in year 4.

4.B.1 Learning Goals and Assessment

CSB/SJU have clear learning goals for their undergraduate curriculum (Common Curriculum and degree programs) and their co-curricular programs. We are working to improve these goals by developing unified institutional goals.

Previous to 2017, CSB/SJU had separate Academic and Student Development learning goals for undergraduates. The Academic Learning Goals were approved by the Joint Faculty Assembly on November 30, 1994. The Student Development Learning Outcomes were initially developed in 2006, adopted in 2007, reviewed and revised in 2009, and most recently again in 2017. We have discovered that having separate learning goals is not best practice. In spring 2017, Academic Affairs and Student Development representatives collaborated to construct an integrated and holistic set of institutional learning goals. The goals encompass curricular and co-curricular learning and currently are being vetted by faculty, staff, students and the Academic Affairs Committee of the CSB and SJU Boards of Trustees.

First-Year Experience Program Learning Outcomes

In AY 2017, CSB/SJU collaborated with the John Gardner Institute to conduct a thorough self-study of the student first-year experience. As a result of the self-study, a team of representatives from the faculty, Academic Affairs and Student Development offices are working to develop learning outcomes that integrate academics and student development goals in our first-year programming. The team will also develop an assessment plan.
Curricular Learning Goals — Common Curriculum

The Common Curriculum (CC) is our general education program at CSB/SJU, replacing the previous Core Curriculum, adopted in AY 2008. The CC learning goals and their respective requirements have been revised incrementally over the years. Most of the goals were approved in September 2006 and April 2007, with the later addition of Experiential Learning in January 2009 and Intercultural Learning in May 2009. All learning goals were approved by the Joint Faculty Assembly (JFA) and more recently by the Joint Faculty Senate (JFS). As a result of ongoing assessment and program review, three sets of learning goals — theology, mathematics and gender — have been modified via proposals endorsed by the Common Curriculum Committee and approval by the Joint Faculty Senate in AY 2015 and 2016. The CC learning goals in their current formulations are available on the CC website. Assessment of the CC learning goals is discussed in sections 4.B.2 and 4.B.3.

As we teach and assess the Common Curriculum, we seek to improve general education at CSB/SJU. Following Program Review of the CC in AY 2010, the JFS began an effort to design a new general education curriculum. The faculty senate authorized the creation of first the Common Curriculum Program Review Committee (CCPR) and then the Common Curriculum Visioning Committee (CCVC). These committees began a three-year evaluation process which included an evaluation of the program review, extensive discussions with faculty and staff, and a literature review on general education. The CCVC's report and recommendations, "Making Connections: Transforming the General Education at the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University," was presented to and endorsed by the JFS in September 2015. The JFS reauthorized the CCVC to develop a mission, learning goals and curriculum model in keeping with the "Making Connections" report over the next two years. In March 2017, the JFS endorsed a new set of learning outcomes. In April 2017, the JFS voted on and endorsed a curriculum model that incorporated these learning outcomes, but the JFA narrowly defeated the proposal in a vote in May. After an external review of the curriculum reform process in September 2017, the JFS established a new ad hoc committee to continue model development still maintaining the "Making Connections" principles and learning outcomes endorsed in March 2017. We expect to vote on a curriculum package at the end of AY 2018. The efforts of many members of the CCVC, the JFS, the faculty, and staff represent a tremendous commitment on the part of CSB/SJU to improve its curriculum and provide a stronger, more coherent general education program that reflects best practices.

Curricular Learning Goals — Academic Departments and Programs

All departments and programs have their own learning outcomes, each individually designed and approved by the Academic Curriculum Committee. Curricular learning goals are available on departmental websites as well as the Office of Academic Assessment and Effectiveness website.

Additional programs that support the curriculum or high-impact practices have learning goals, including the study abroad programs, facilitated through the Center for Global Education, and the Libraries. We are currently vetting a set of learning outcomes for an Academic Student Success Center that encompass the goals of many of our service departments and programs, including the Writing Center, Math Skills Center, Undergraduate Research and Experience and Professional Development, among others. Upon approval, we will assess these outcomes.

Honors Program

The Honors Program underwent program review in AY 2016. As a result of the review, the director is now working with faculty to redefine the mission and goals for the program and to create an assessment plan for these specific goals in tandem.
The Honors curriculum is embedded within the Common Curriculum, with the additional expectation that active teaching pedagogies and rigor increases within Honors-designated courses. These courses undergo assessment as part of specific departments or programs and also as part of the Common Curriculum. Student and alumni surveys have been used to measure whether active pedagogies and rigor are embedded in Honors courses. Surveys in the self study (p.54) indicate this does occur.

**Co-Curricular Learning Goals — Student Development**

The Student Development vice presidents at CSB and SJU lead collegial efforts to develop learning goals that foster the full and holistic development of young women and young men, to implement programs in pursuit of those learning goals, to assess the success of those programs, and to redesign them on an ongoing basis in light of assessment results.

Student Development at CSB and SJU have a shared set of learning outcomes with a gender-specific focus. The outcomes were developed in 2007 as part of an initiative to create a “culture of assessment” within the divisions. We engaged Keeling and Associates to provide initial training on the value and process of assessment. This led to a small committee of program directors who developed a draft of divisional learning outcomes. The outcomes were further refined in a series of meetings with all program directors and finally with feedback from CSB and SJU Student Development division employees. The outcomes ultimately were reviewed and adopted by both Student Development committees of the boards of trustees and serve as the foundation of our assessment plan. Each program director is responsible for creating learning outcomes for their department using the divisional outcomes that pertain most to their area. Yearly surveys measure those defined outcomes.

**Effective Processes for Assessment**

CSB/SJU continuously strive to improve our processes for assessment.

The evidence presented for sections 4.B.2 and 4.B.3 strongly demonstrate that assessment is conducted with reasonable regularity and effectiveness. Departments actively assess their program goals as well as the disciplinary goals of the Common Curriculum (CC) when relevant. We have learned that assessment of the cross-disciplinary components of the CC (not owned by specific divisions or departments) requires a faculty leader in each area to ensure that systematic assessment occurs. This system is in place.

Assessment processes at CSB/SJU allow for informed and data-driven decisions that positively impact student learning. The Office of Academic Assessment and Effectiveness (OAAE) collects, maintains and supports program review and yearly assessment of departmental and program goals. We have had decentralized assessment activities. However, our assessment practices and outcomes, along with investigations of best practices, have led us to begin a process toward greater coordination. The Office of Academic Assessment and Effectiveness has been restructured and new staff hired. We are beginning to use Taskstream to better coordinate and document assessment. In addition, OAAE provides resources and support for faculty as it relates to effective assessment practices. OAAE reports directly to the provost and works closely with the academic dean in matters of assessment processes and impact on curriculum and with the dean of the faculty insofar as data-driven decisions impact faculty development. The academic dean is an ex-officio member of the faculty Academic Policies, Standards, and Assessment Committee (APSAC) and its assessment subcommittee. The Director of OAAE is a consultant to the assessment subcommittee. APSAC and the Assessment Subcommittee are responsible for reviewing annual departmental and program assessment reports as well as their self-study documents during program review. OAAE, the academic dean, and APSAC work
together to monitor and provide feedback on annual assessment reports for departments and programs. OAAE will collect and manage assessment of the institutional and first-year experience learning goals. The assistant director of OAAE also works with Institutional Planning and Research to manage and analyze retention, persistence, graduation and graduate success.

To ensure data collection, discussion and integration, we have learned that a leader is vital for regular and effective assessment of the Common Curriculum. Therefore, we have provided faculty reassignment for a director of the Common Curriculum to oversee assessment of the Common Curriculum. With the help and support of OAAE and Academic Affairs, the director ensures that assessment is completed within a defined timeline and is connected and used effectively and comprehensively where appropriate. To further support assessment of the cross-disciplinary learning goals within the Common Curriculum, a single faculty member for each of the cross-disciplinary components leads this process. The director of the First-Year Seminar conducts assessment for these courses. Disciplinary goals are assessed by their appropriate divisions and departments. While assessment has been completed regularly by departments within each division, the data have been decentralized, which we are currently correcting through changes in the assessment office and the development of a new curriculum which will have more integrated and coordinated learning goals.

Each department and program is responsible for assessment of its own learning goals. Departments must create a curriculum map identifying a timeline for assessment of each goal. Departments and programs submit assessment results annually to Academic Affairs, APSAC and the OAAE. As discussed in 4.B.3, different structures exist within a department/program to facilitate assessment.

4.B.2 Assessment of Learning Outcomes

Common Curriculum: Cross-disciplinary Learning Goals

A leadership structure described in 4.B.1 has provided an effective assessment process for the cross-disciplinary goals (First-Year Seminar, Ethics Seminar, Gender, Experiential Learning, and Intercultural Learning) of the Common Curriculum. Academic Affairs worked with APSAC to construct a comprehensive assessment plan for the cross-disciplinary goals. The First-Year Seminar director (previously the Common Curriculum director) has actively led assessment of the First-Year Seminar learning goals (a two-semester sequence) since its onset in 2008. Assessment of ethics, gender, experiential learning, and intercultural learning is coordinated each by an assessment coordinator. Teams of faculty who teach these courses conduct assessment of the respective learning goals. Reports are submitted to Academic Affairs and APSAC and are available on our SharePoint site. Results and next steps are discussed in workshops throughout the year as described in 4.B.3 and 4.B.4.

Common Curriculum: Disciplinary Learning Goals

Disciplinary-divisional:

The four academic divisions (Fine Arts, Humanities, Natural Sciences, and Social Sciences) conduct assessment of their respective divisional learning goals. Departments teach and assess the Common Curriculum learning goals corresponding to their respective divisions. For example, in AY 2016:

- Theater assessed Fine Arts goal 1 in THEA 105
- English assessed Humanities goal 1 for all 18 of their Humanities-designated courses
- History assessed Humanities' goals 1 and 2 in all 100-level courses
- Chemistry assessed Natural Science goals 1, 2, and 3 in CHEM 201
Nutrition assessed Natural Science goals 1, 2, 3, and 4 in NUTR 110 and NUTR 125
Political Science assessed Social Science goals 1, 2, and 3 in POLS 111, POLS 121, POLS 399
Psychology assessed Social Science goal 3 in PSYC 111 Lab

Because assessment methods were built within departments, tools and timelines were decentralized, leading to a lack of collaboration and integration of summative assessment of divisional learning goals. This has led to a new approach in the development of learning goals for the new general education model. More integrated, the assessment practices and reporting of the new learning goals will be more integrated and coordinated.

Reporting of disciplinary divisional goals has increased dramatically since requested by departments in AY 2013. For example, in AY 2016, all annual reports, where appropriate, contained assessment data for the Natural Science, Humanities, Social Science and Fine Arts divisional goals.

Disciplinary-departmental:

Departmental Common Curriculum (CC) goals were designed for mathematics and theology. Assessment plans were developed by these individual departments and can be found in the departments' annual reports. These goals have been assessed since their onset, and as a result, both math and theology learning goals have changed within the past five years. In addition:

- Members of the Mathematics department have adopted alternative pedagogies and changed textbooks as a result of assessment data.
- Theology, during their discussions of assessment data, shared assignments, prompts and different pedagogical approaches to help reach specific goals.

Departmental goals were also designed for the departments of Languages & Cultures and Hispanic Studies. Assessment plans were developed by these individual departments and can be found in the departments' annual reports. Numerous changes have resulted from assessment to enhance student learning. For example, changes in software to enhance language learning, alternative pedagogies to support learning, and changes in syllabi have been adopted. Additional examples include:

- French created its Talk Abroad program to enhance listening and speaking skills.
- An English for Bilingual Students (EBS 210-211) course was created in fall 2010 to better serve bilingual U.S. students and address the global language proficiency requirements.

Department and Program Learning Goals (Majors)

Departments and programs submit their assessment data annually. A compliance dashboard suggests a substantial increase in submission and participation in assessment since 2009. Accountability for completion of departmental and Common Curriculum assessment is monitored by APSAC. Annual reports are evaluated for the inclusion of assessment data. Over the last five years, an average of 85 percent of departments and programs that submitted annual reports included an assessment report. This data further supports the participation of most departments and programs in their own assessment.

Each department develops its own assessment plan to assess student learning for disciplinary goals. This process often overlaps with assessment of Common Curriculum learning goals. For example:

- Exercise Science and Sport Studies uses several direct and indirect methods to assess its five learning goals over several courses; it completed its five-year assessment plan in AY 2017.
Computer Science assesses its five learning goals annually using direct measures via lab exams and the Major Field Test in Computer Science.

Art has assessed all seven of its learning goals for Art majors annually since program review in 2011-2012.

History assesses two of its six learning goals each year, one for general students and one for majors, and will complete its second round of assessment in AY 2019.

Economics collects evidence regularly for its three learning goals and eight objectives for analysis on a three-year rotation; for example, in AY 2016, it evaluated three semesters worth of senior research papers to assess learning goals for student writing.

Departments use both direct and indirect methods of assessment for their programs. Typically, they field senior surveys (and alumni surveys during program reviews) along with direct assessment using student assignments, writings, exams and class activities. Rubrics are common to evaluate student writings.

**Assessment in Accredited Programs**

Chemistry, Education, Music, Nursing and Nutrition all conduct assessment in conjunction with their respective accrediting bodies (discussed in 4.A.5). For example, the Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE) determined that the Nursing department fulfills its mission and goals and uses "data on program effectiveness [...] to foster ongoing program development."

**Assessment in the Center for Global Education**

The Center for Global Education currently offers 17 semester-long abroad programs and 10 to 12 short-term programs each year. Fifteen of the semester-long programs are led by faculty, meaning a faculty member from CSB/SJU directs the program and teaches one class while abroad. The coursework must be approved by the Academic Curriculum Committee (ACC) and, if appropriate, the Common Curriculum Committee (CCC). Both committees include faculty members and ex-officio members from Academic Affairs. If the course is part of the Common Curriculum, faculty are expected to participate in the assessment process for the Common Curriculum.

The Center for Global Education has a set of common learning goals for all abroad programs. Assessment is conducted indirectly through student surveys and end-of-semester reports by faculty directors. CGE conducted a program review in 2016 and a leadership change occurred in 2017.

**Student Development**

Metrics for student learning trends are assessed through a variety of surveys administered by Institutional Planning and Research, including the New Entering Student Survey, Enrolled Student Survey, Senior Survey and the Graduate Survey. In addition, CSB/SJU Health Promotion administers one of the following self-designed surveys over a three-year rotation: General Health Survey, Alcohol and Other Drug survey, and the Sexual Violence Campus Climate survey, the latter of which complies with new federal legislation. These surveys have guided an ongoing assessment process which has resulted in a variety of revisions highlighted in section 4.B.3.

4.B.3 Assessment Improves Student Learning

**Assessment of Student Learning Has Led to Strategic Institutional Goals for CSB/SJU**
Assessment data has been a growing and important piece of CSB/SJU's institutional decisions. **Strategic Directions 2020** (SD2020) has goals driven by assessment data. Two examples make this clear. The goal to "create a leading and innovative liberal arts curriculum emphasizing preparation for life" was shaped not only by the Common Curriculum Program Review but also by a three-year study led by CSB/SJU to evaluate the impact of our current Common Curriculum on student learning. Assessment data influenced this decision and has led us to undergo curricular reform described in 4.B.1.

The SD2020 goals to "meet the needs and aspirations and exceed the expectations of a 21st century student body” resulted from an assessment that included retention data and an extensive self-study of CSB/SJU's overall first-year experience. As a result of this data and assessment, we have identified needs and taken steps to create a more inclusive campus and to enhance the first-year student experience from an academic and co-curricular level.

**Assessment of Student Learning Has Led to Changes in the Curriculum**

Institutional Changes in Student Learning: The alignment of both curricular and co-curricular learning goals in the development of institutional learning goals, described in 4.B.1, will facilitate assessment of these goals and help us better understand how well our institutions approach the holistic development of our students.

Improvement in the Common Curriculum: Many positive changes have occurred as a result of assessment. For example, several student learning goals were revised to improve student learning, including the goals for mathematics (2015), theology (2015 and 2016), and gender (2015). We also have created a lengthy description of the learning goals for ethics (2013). In addition, assessment led to modifications in rubrics that better clarify student expectations (e.g. include experiential learning, gender, and ethics). In addition to rubrics and learning goals, assessment also has resulted in changes in pedagogy as well as assignments and learning tools used to help students meet these goals (see evidence highlighted above in 4.B.2).

Program review and an extensive additional assessment of our current Common Curriculum have led to a multiyear process in the development of a new general education curriculum as described in 4.B.1. We are in year seven of curricular reform with continued discussion of a model to best achieve the newly developed learning outcomes.

Improvement in Programs and Departments: **Programs and departments** have made a wide variety of changes as a result of assessment data. A sample of the changes include:

- Art used assessment results to ensure consistency of the evaluation process
- Theater used assessment as a teaching tool for instructors and a learning tool for students
- English used assessment to translate Common Curriculum learning goals into English-specific goals and improve data collection
- History used assessment to improve Humanities-History rubrics and improve the data collection process
- Chemistry used assessment to improve a large-enrollment, multi-section, foundational laboratory course (CHEM 201)
- Nutrition used assessment to monitor and improve student learning in courses with different audiences (NUTR 110 for general students, NUTR 125 for science majors)
- Political Science employed a multi-instrument approach to measure student learning at the introductory and senior levels to facilitate discussions of pedagogy
- Psychology used assessment to improve a multi-section introductory course (PSYC 111 Lab)
by improving assessment instruments, sharing results and fostering collaboration within the department.

Our study abroad programs also have changed as a result of assessment. For example, we have modified faculty training to support teaching towards the desired outcomes, and modified programming to help students better reach the program’s specified goals.

Assessment of Student Learning Has Led to Improvement in Student Development

Student Development has a formal process of program review. Each program in the division is now on a five- to seven-year review cycle similar to the cycle and practice for academic programs. Guidelines were established for program review linking assessment to student learning outcomes. All departments in Student Development have been through at least one cycle of program review, and we are now well into our second cycle. While each program review has its particular nuances, it typically occurs in three major phases: a self-study based on program review guidelines; an external evaluation to review the self-study and identify the program’s strengths and opportunities for improvement; and a response from the director that becomes the departmental strategic plan. The vice presidents for Student Development work with each director to make fiscal, human resource or programmatic changes as needed to improve student learning and enhance the student experience. Examples of improvements that have resulted from program review include: moving Career Services from Student Development to Academic Affairs and restructuring it into the Office of Experience and Professional Development (XPD), creating a dedicated club sports director based on Campus Recreation program review and increasing fiscal resources to Campus Ministry.

4.B.4 Process of Assessment

Stakeholders Participate in Assessment

As described in 4.B.1, we have created a structure to ensure assessment is conducted at an institutional, general education and departmental/programmatic level. The academic dean and OAAE manage and oversee assessment for Academic Affairs and also serve as a support and assistance network for faculty. Systems are in place for departments and programs to submit their assessment and follow-up with progress reports on student learning.

As noted in 4.B.1, all programs and departments must establish an assessment plan and create structures to conduct effective assessment. Assessment by programs and departments has increased dramatically, and we have evidence of strong, broad-based faculty participation in department-level assessment. Of the 32 academic departments and programs, 20 have an assessment coordinator. In other cases, the chair, a committee, or individual faculty coordinate assessment work. Sixteen departments report that 100 percent of their faculty participate in annual assessment work. Overwhelmingly, department and program chairs report that they discuss assessment to improve curriculum, pedagogy and/or the assessment process itself. Since AY 2012, over 75 percent of departments routinely "close the assessment loop" by discussing assessment results in their annual reports (this number has risen to more than 80 percent since AY 2015).

Participation in assessment of the Common Curriculum has increased as well. As described in 4.B.2, when asked to submit assessment results for the Common Curriculum, 100 percent of those departments and programs with courses with divisional learning outcomes submitted results. Participation in Common Curriculum assessment of cross-disciplinary learning goals is increasing. For example, in AY 2016 approximately 20 percent of courses submitted artifacts for assessment of the ethics learning goals. By AY 2017, the participation had risen to 84 percent.
The **assessment of the gender designation** of the Common Curriculum provides a good example of faculty participation. In May 2017, a team of nine faculty from eight departments met for a two-day workshop to assess artifacts from GE courses taught in AY 2017. They assessed goal 3: "Articulate how gender intersects with at least one of the following: race, class, ethnicity, nationality, or sexuality." Their report served as the subject of a brown bag discussion in late August, where 17 faculty from 12 departments discussed the need for further training to teach intersectionality and subsequently laid initial plans for follow-up work in AY 2018. In total, 23 faculty from 14 different departments participated in this one assessment cycle of the gender designation.

**Training and Support for Assessment is in Place**

CSB/SJU faculty and staff receive assessment training both on campus and off campus. From 2008 to 2012, 39 faculty (12 percent of faculty FTE) and 14 administrative and staff members participated in the Assessment 101 training on campus supported by the Teagle Foundation and administered by CSB/SJU staff. In addition, faculty from a wide variety of departments have received training in many off-campus workshops and conferences. Additionally, faculty and staff have participated in curriculum and assessment workshops through AAC&U and HLC. We send a team annually to the HLC conference. An [internal survey](#) conducted in April 2017 revealed that faculty from 22 departments and First-Year Seminar, as well as administrative and staff members from the SJU School of Theology, Academic Advising, Counseling, the library, Campus Life and other offices, received Teagle or other off-campus assessment training. Respondents indicated that they used training in the assessment of Common Curriculum and department learning goals, Student Development and other institutional goals, as well as service on APSAC or curriculum development efforts.

The institution provides [funding](#) for departments and individuals to support assessment training for both faculty and staff. For example, departments have annual assessment budgets. Furthermore, between 2012 and 2017, the institution supported attendance at a variety of assessment conferences, including the American Association of Colleges & Universities' Institute on General Education and Assessment, the Peace Studies Assessment conference, and the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages conference.

Additionally, as described in 4.B.1, we have structured OAAE to provide individual and systematic training in assessment.

As a result of training and the continued development of a culture of assessment, CSB/SJU is demonstrating an increasing and more pervasive use of assessment to make decisions regarding student learning. CSB/SJU are beginning to use Taskstream to coordinate assessment. The institutions are moving away from decentralized assessment practices.

**Sources**

- 2006-09-20 JFA Approved Minutes-FYS
- 2007-04-03 JFA Approved Minutes-ethics-gender
- 2013 Executive Summary of Alcohol and Drug Survey (002)
- 2016 Executive Summary of Alcohol and Drug Survey Executive Summary CSB
- 2017 Sexual Assault Survey Executive Summary
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS _Department LG assessment_20180103
- ACADEMIC AFFAIRS _Department LG assessment_20180202
- CSB 2015 Health Survey Summary
- CSB SDLG Assessment
- CSB-SJU Comparison 2015 with Non-White Data1 (002)
- CSBSJU_XPD
- PRES CSBSJU SD 2020 Bd Approved_20150518
- SD_keeling and associates
- SD_keeling and associates
- STD DEV_CSB Final Report Campus Recreation_20171207
- STD DEV_FoE Final Report_2017
- Student Development Learning Goals Final
4.C - Core Component 4.C

The institution demonstrates a commitment to educational improvement through ongoing attention to retention, persistence, and completion rates in its degree and certificate programs.

1. The institution has defined goals for student retention, persistence, and completion that are ambitious but attainable and appropriate to its mission, student populations, and educational offerings.
2. The institution collects and analyzes information on student retention, persistence, and completion of its programs.
3. The institution uses information on student retention, persistence, and completion of programs to make improvements as warranted by the data.
4. The institution’s processes and methodologies for collecting and analyzing information on student retention, persistence, and completion of programs reflect good practice. (Institutions are not required to use IPEDS definitions in their determination of persistence or completion rates. Institutions are encouraged to choose measures that are suitable to their student populations, but institutions are accountable for the validity of their measures.)

Argument

4.C.1 Goals for retention, persistence, and completion

CSB/SJU closely monitors retention, persistence and completion and works to achieve excellent outcomes overall. Strategic Directions 2020 identifies clear retention and completion goals. Specifically, we aim to achieve and sustain a first-to-second year retention rate of 90 percent (our first-to-second year retention average rate for the past four years is 88 percent). We aim to achieve and sustain a four-year completion rate of 75 percent (our average for the three cohorts that entered between fall 2011 and fall 2013 was 75 percent). In addition, we are working to eliminate retention and completion gaps between majority and under-represented students. Between fall 2014 and fall 2017, first-to-second year retention averaged 81 percent for American students of color and 89 percent for white students. The six-year completion rate for the entering class of 2011 totaled 75 percent for American students of color, compared to 84 percent for white students. To begin this work, in summer 2016 we joined the John Gardner Institute for an intensive self-reflective study of our students' first-year experience. With the development of a First-Year Experience, we anticipate results will increase our retention rate for both majority and under-represented students.

Even as our student population has changed, becoming more academically, socioeconomically, and racially and ethnically diverse, our retention and completion rates have remained above national averages and are consistent with averages at peer liberal arts colleges.

4.C.2/4 Collection and Analysis

The College of Saint Benedict calculates retention, persistence and graduation rates using the IPEDS methodology, which tracks entering cohorts of full-time, first-time degree-seeking students. Retention and completion information is available on the Institutional and Planning Research website.

While most students who enroll at CSB and SJU stay to completion, between 18 percent and 20 percent of each entering cohort since 2007 left without having earned their undergraduate degree.
The largest number and percentage leave during their first year or between the first and second year.

We work closely with students in a number of ways, principally through Academic Advising, Residential Life and Counseling, to address issues before departure becomes the clearest or only choice. The Student Support Team (comprised of the deans of students and representatives of Residential Life, Counseling, Academic Advising and Campus Security) meets regularly during the academic year to review students who might be at-risk academically and/or behaviorally and to develop a plan to assist those students as needed. In addition, the Retention Committee monitors student enrollment throughout the year, integrating the work of the business offices, Academic Affairs, the Office of the Registrar, Student Development, and Financial Aid to insure a coordinated approach to addressing the needs of individual students and to maximize the number of students who register each term.

CSB/SJU have developed a number of program strategies and partnerships to support retention, persistence and graduation. For example, we recently created a College Navigator staff position to provide support for first-generation students. That position connects first-generation students to support provided in various offices on campus, helping them to successfully transition into the college environment and culture.

The Fact Book provides "a multiyear snapshot and briefing of key data and trends related to admission and enrollment, academic activity and productivity, and human and financial resources [for CSB/SJU] ... Information includes: admission and new entering student data, undergraduate student data, academic and instructional data, alumni data, financial and human resource data, and private college comparison data."

4.C.3 Use of information

Each year, the Retention Committee reviews persistence data to make improvements in our registration and student outreach processes. Significant changes in recent years include earlier outreach to students and parents to prepare for class registration and the requirement of completion of course registration as a condition for participation in the campus housing lottery (all CSB and SJU students are required to live on campus).

Retention, persistence and completion were driving factors for the self-study completed for the first-year experience. As a result of the self-study, steps are being taken to collaborate on a set of learning outcomes that will drive a first-year program.

Sources
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4.S - Criterion 4 - Summary

The institution demonstrates responsibility for the quality of its educational programs, learning environments, and support services, and it evaluates their effectiveness for student learning through processes designed to promote continuous improvement.

Summary

The College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University have developed systematic approaches to undergo data-informed, ongoing reform to enhance student learning. Assessment timelines are established and used to assess learning goals at the institutional, student development, general education and departmental/program level. Data is reported and maintained and used to guide decisions as it relates to the assessment process, pedagogical change, as well as curricular change. Over the past decade, the culture of assessment has grown and with new structures and commitments now in place. We expect this culture to continue to expand in the future.

CSB/SJU are in the final stages of integrating academic and student development learning goals. We are also in the final stages of reforming the general education curriculum as a result of a program review of the current curriculum and the work of faculty committees to intentionally incorporate best practices into the general education curriculum. The Office of Academic Assessment and Effectiveness is incorporating practices to make assessment more integrated and coordinated.

Sources

There are no sources.
5 - Resources, Planning, and Institutional Effectiveness

The institution’s resources, structures, and processes are sufficient to fulfill its mission, improve the quality of its educational offerings, and respond to future challenges and opportunities. The institution plans for the future.

5.A - Core Component 5.A

The institution’s resource base supports its current educational programs and its plans for maintaining and strengthening their quality in the future.

1. The institution has the fiscal and human resources and physical and technological infrastructure sufficient to support its operations wherever and however programs are delivered.
2. The institution’s resource allocation process ensures that its educational purposes are not adversely affected by elective resource allocations to other areas or disbursement of revenue to a superordinate entity.
3. The goals incorporated into mission statements or elaborations of mission statements are realistic in light of the institution’s organization, resources, and opportunities.
4. The institution’s staff in all areas are appropriately qualified and trained.
5. The institution has a well-developed process in place for budgeting and for monitoring expense.

Argument

The College of Saint Benedict (CSB) is committed to maintaining and strengthening the educational experience provided to our students. Strategic Directions 2020 (SD2020) provides the foundation for improvements to the educational experience and the basis for making resource allocation decisions. Our resource allocations are consistent with our mission and identity as a residential, undergraduate institution.

5.A.1/5.A.2/5.A.3 Mission Appropriate Resources and Infrastructure

The college has consistently improved its financial base through increased gifts to the endowment and annual fund, prudent management of the budget, and transfers to reserves as summarized in the FY17 audited financial statements and audited ratios presentation. Since 2012, net assets have increased by 53 percent, rising from $114 million to $174 million. Three sources of revenue provide the primary support for the educational and general budget: net tuition and fees (75 percent), endowment and annual fund (11 percent), and auxiliary operations (11 percent). The college has regularly produced operating budget surpluses at fiscal year end through prudent budget management.

In spring 2016, the college closed a $38 million bond issue that supported SD2020 priorities and addressed several deferred maintenance projects. The bond issue had no impact on our operating budget; we structured the debt to insure that debt service would not increase (outlined in this document). The college maintained its Baa1 Moody’s bond rating with a stable outlook. In December 2017, the college restructured two existing bonds to decrease future debt service by approximately $700,000 annually. Moody's reaffirmed the college's Baa1 rating and stable outlook. The December 2017 Moody's report noted that the college's strategic alignment with Saint John's University (SJU)
and our fiscal stewardship and conservative budgeting practices were reflected in strengthening operating cash flow, good growth of cash and investments and manageable proforma debt service.

Our partnership with SJU provides both of us with added operating efficiencies, enables budget flexibility, and provides scale that would otherwise be difficult to achieve if we operated wholly independently of each other. The institutions share faculty and all academic departments, Admission, IT Services, Libraries, Registrar, Human Resources and many other administrative units. In addition to wholly sharing our faculty, 60 percent of our total administrative and support staff are shared by both institutions.

While our endowment market value is moderate, it is on an upward trajectory. Improved fundraising and strong cash flow have supported continued growth in investments. Since FY10, the endowment has nearly doubled, rising from $37.3 million to $72.1 million in FY17. The college currently is in the quiet phase of a $100 million capital campaign — which includes goals of $25 million for facilities projects, $60 million for endowment, and $15 million for annual fund. As of December 31, 2017, the campaign has raised $67.7 million. The college will begin the public phase of the campaign in FY19.

The SD2020 goal, Shared Future, Sustainable Future, called for the development of a long-range economic model that financially aligned the goals articulated in the plan. The accompanying narrative provides historical context for the long-range plan. We update the economic model annually with a four-year forward projection. We use the model to make resource allocation decisions that align our resources with the strategic plan while being realistic about our challenges and opportunities. We have allocated funding toward the goals in the plan, including funding in support of the First-Year Experience, support for the new common curriculum, new resources for classroom renovations, development of new athletic fields and renovation of administrative and academic spaces. SD2020 included clear goals and targets that we closely monitor and report annually to the board of trustees.

Facilities

Facilities represent our largest investment, totaling approximately $287 million. We take stewardship of our physical assets very seriously. In 2006-07, the college developed a facility master plan. We have completed or will soon complete most of the components of facilities master plan. We expect to begin development of a new master plan during the 2017-18 academic year.

The college constructed new athletic fields in support of the SD2020 goal focused on the Holistic and Transformational Development of Women. The college also purchased three buildings from Saint Benedict’s Monastery which are have been renovated for use as administrative space. The new administrative space will free space in the Main Building, the most iconic historic building on campus, for renovation as the new home for the departments of Economics, Computer Science, Math, and Psychology. Renovations will begin in the spring 2018. Other significant facilities improvements include:

- A full-scale renovation of the Nursing department in 2015. We now provide state-of-the-art learning spaces and labs for our Nursing students.
- Under the provost's direction, completion of a classroom inventory that will result in systematic renovation of all classrooms spaces over a five-year time frame.
- Completion of improvements to first-year housing in summer 2017. We have additional improvements slated for summer 2018.
The college also has a long-term facility plan and allocates funding annually to address ongoing plant renewal, facility adaption and catch-up maintenance. The most recent bond issue included a number of projects that provided significant funding for deferred maintenance issues.

**Technology**

Information Technology Services (ITS) provides a range of services, including computer and network support, enterprise applications, web services, technical support and telephone support. The ITS strategic plan supports the goals articulated in SD2020. The technology services plan addresses learning space design, tools for teaching and learning, service and support, data for analytics, anytime/anywhere access, expanded partnerships across campus, process improvement, and system security. Working with academic areas, ITS will create new standards for classrooms, advancing the model for learning space design, which we plan to integrate into instructional space planning, technology planning, budgeting, staffing and management.

ITS completed a program review in 2016-17. The review noted several notable strengths, including staff talent and skill, creation of a plan closely aligned with the institutional strategic plan, a regular cycle of funding replacement computers for faculty and staff, maintenance of up-to-date technology infrastructure appropriate for our mission, modernized classrooms and our focus on access for students with an emphasis on mobile technologies. As a result of the review, Media Services (formerly included as part of the Libraries staff) was integrated into ITS in spring 2018 to provide better and more consistent classroom support.

**Human Resources**

Faculty and staff are the college's greatest assets in delivering our mission. As part of the SD2020 goal, Shared Future, Sustainable Future, the college has developed a faculty and staff composition design to manage both the student-to-faculty ratio and the student-to-staff ratio in ways consistent with our mission, our educational commitments and our economic constraints. The first faculty composition design was presented to the CSB and SJU Boards of Trustees in November 2012. We continue to actively monitor the metrics included in that first design. We presented the first iteration of a staff composition design to the CSB Board of Trustees in May 2014. That document also is updated annually. The 2017-18 versions of the faculty and staff designs currently are in draft form and under review. Our fall 2016 IPEDS staffing report noted that the college employs 162 faculty FTE and 307 staff FTE for a total employee base of 469 FTE. Approximately 95 percent of faculty FTE are employed full-time; over 75 percent of those full-time appointments are tenured or on a tenure-track. Students report a high level of interaction and satisfaction with the support they receive from faculty.

5.A.4 Staff Qualifications and Training

The college annually conducts an employee review process designed not only to evaluate employee job performance but also to promote dialogue between employees and supervisors and to reflect on the employee's goals and professional development aspirations. In addition, each vice president develops annual divisional goals that align with goals included in SD2020. The college supports the professional development of its staff and provides annual funding for professional development opportunities through departmental funding as well as institutional grants administered by the Administrative Professional Development and Support Staff Development Committees. We also provide a professional development series through the Human Resources Office that encompasses a range of topics, including supervisory training, diversity and inclusion, financial wellness, health and wellness, technology training and compliance.
The Human Resources Office has established an HR Inclusion Advisory Committee committed to building and retaining a diverse workforce. The committee began meeting in spring 2017 and established a framework and action plan that will be implemented in FY18. The committee aspires to serve our students and community with a commitment to diversity and inclusion in three focus areas: recruitment and retention, professional development and infrastructure and community outreach and engagement.

See also 3.C.6

5.A.5 Budget Monitoring

We have consistently aligned the college's annual budget process with the long-term economic model. In fall 2017, we changed the timeline for making our comprehensive price decision from spring to fall in response to a change in the federal financial aid needs analysis process. Typically, we would have requested a final pricing decision at the March meeting of our board of trustees, when the entire budget is presented for approval. Through consistent use of the model, the board has had confidence in setting the price earlier.

While we closely follow the expense parameters specified in the economic model, it is not static. The college continually revisits the parameters in response to changes in the higher education marketplace and to issues identified in the annual environmental scan. New budget requests must be aligned with the goals articulated in SD2020.

The president’s cabinet and the Finance Committee of the Board of Trustees monitor the budget and other key metrics throughout the year through a series of dashboard reports. The Business Office has established strong internal controls to monitor and review all expenditures and to monitor budget activity. The Business Office sends monthly budget reports to all budget managers and vice presidents. The college undergoes an annual audit, which is approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees. We provide the financial audit to the full Board of Trustees. The college consistently has received unmodified opinions on the annual audit.
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5.B - Core Component 5.B

The institution’s governance and administrative structures promote effective leadership and support collaborative processes that enable the institution to fulfill its mission.

1. The governing board is knowledgeable about the institution; it provides oversight of the institution’s financial and academic policies and practices and meets its legal and fiduciary responsibilities.
2. The institution has and employs policies and procedures to engage its internal constituencies—including its governing board, administration, faculty, staff, and students—in the institution’s governance.
3. Administration, faculty, staff, and students are involved in setting academic requirements, policy, and processes through effective structures for contribution and collaborative effort.

Argument

The College of Saint Benedict's governance and administrative structures promote effective leadership and collaboratively engage the campus community. Cross-divisional and campus representation on governance, faculty and administrative committees demonstrate transparency within the organizational structure that drives operational performance in the fulfillment of our mission.

5.B.1 Governing Board Oversight

The CSB Board of Trustees’ governance oversight required to meet its legal and fiduciary responsibilities are described in 2.A and 2.C. Some board committees are comprised of trustees from CSB and SJU and meet jointly, while others include only CSB trustees and meet as independent committees of the CSB board of trustees. In their role as directors, trustees review and periodically revise the college’s mission, appoint and support the president, monitor the college’s educational and public service programs, ensure the adequate and appropriate allocation of resources, ensure good management, and preserve institutional independence. The board regularly assesses its effectiveness in governing the institution. The board also participates in periodic educational opportunities to improve their effectiveness in providing crucial and generative leadership for the college.

New trustees are required to participate in Board of Trustee orientation. Orientation continues throughout their first year as trustees with divisional overviews occurring at each board meeting. In addition, newly developed reference materials will provide new members with resources that will enable them to understand the governance structure and responsibilities associated with trustee membership.

5.B.2 Shared Governance

The College of Saint Benedict's unique relationship with Saint John's University requires a strong commitment to shared governance throughout the organization. Due to the high level of overlap, joint operations in key areas requires collaboration and coordination between the organizations, trustees, faculty, administrators, staff and students. In 2017 a task force, which includes the presidents, trustees, administrators, faculty, and students, was formed to review shared governance principles and practices at CSB and SJU.
Trustee:

Shared governance at the trustee level was described in 2.A. and 2.C.

Administrative:

The president and her cabinet represent senior administrative leadership on campus. The cabinet meets throughout the year, focusing its discussion on institutional priorities and challenges. Faculty, administrators, staff and students may be invited to cabinet meetings to provide input or feedback on a variety of issues, which may include policies and procedures, budgets, and curricular or co-curricular issues. The provost, vice president for Admissions and Financial Aid and the vice president for Planning and Strategy serve on both the CSB and SJU cabinets.

The cabinets from the two institutions meet several times a year as Coordinate Cabinet to discuss joint matters and strategic priorities. The chair and vice chair of the faculty and student senate presidents participate in Coordinate Cabinet meetings.

The presidents of CSB and SJU jointly established the Strategic Directions Council (SDC) over a decade ago. Made up of members of both cabinets as well as the faculty chair and vice chair, the SDC is responsible for oversight of the strategic plan and for monitoring the progress of the initiatives incorporated into the plan. In addition to cabinet and faculty representatives, SDC members include the director of Information Technology Services, the dean of Admission, the executive director of Financial Aid, the dean of the Faculty, the academic dean, and the senior budget analyst. The provost and vice president for Planning and Strategy lead the committee.

Other standing administrative committees that include faculty and/or student representatives were discussed in 2.A. Ad hoc committees are used for specific purposes such as capital projects and may include trustees, faculty, administration, staff and students. For example, faculty have worked closely with administrative leadership on the renovation of the Main Building.

In addition to these formal approaches to internal communication and involvement, the president holds monthly open office hours, open sessions after each board meeting to update the community on board actions and activity, allowing time for questions and dialogue. In fall 2017, the president began hosting listening sessions on key topics to gather community input for the next strategic plan.

Faculty:

Faculty participate in institutional governance through the standing faculty committee structure outlined in the Faculty Handbook. As noted in 2.C., faculty participate and have representation at the board (non-voting) and on board committees. At the May 2017 board meeting, the presidents outlined a plan for a healthy future around shared governance. The methods of engagement outlined in the plan provide a process to further explore shared governance to create opportunities for continuous improvement and enhance faculty input beyond board membership. Faculty also participate in many administrative, divisional, departmental and ad hoc committees.

Student:

The Saint Ben’s Senate (SBS) represents the voice of students at the College of Saint Benedict. The SBS expresses views and makes recommendations to staff, faculty and administrators; provides support for academic, social and cultural experiences; promotes students' rights; and aids the college in operating more effectively in the interest of students. The student senate meets regularly and
routinely invites administrators and faculty to discuss, provide input, and receive feedback on institutional matters, which may include policies and procedures.

5.B.3 Structures for Contribution and Collaboration

Faculty have a key role and responsibility in setting academic requirements, policies and processes for the institution. The practices described below demonstrate a collaborative process that engages key stakeholders from within the institution.

- The Faculty Handbook (section 5.0.1) states that “the primary role of the faculty in governance is the implementation of the educational goals of the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John’s University. In this capacity, the faculty is responsible for curricular requirements including but not limited to: admissions and graduation requirements, the Common Curriculum, additions and deletions of majors, minors or programs.” These decisions require approval by the Joint Faculty Senate, the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees and, where required, the full Board of Trustees.

- Faculty are responsible for academic policies and standards. The Academic Policies, Standards, and Assessment Committee (APSAC) “oversees the quality and functioning of the entire undergraduate academic program and formulates guidelines for achieving and maintaining integrity and excellence in academic programs.” APSAC reviews and revises academic policies and standards. This includes a responsibility to “establish, periodically review, and revise — in cooperation with the offices of Admission and Academic Advising — academic standards for admission, academic probation and dismissal and graduation.” APSAC reports policy changes to the Joint Faculty Senate. One example is a recent change in the Academic Honesty and Misconduct Policy that was brought to the committee by the academic dean. The committee reviewed and made changes to the policy that are now in effect. The Registrar’s Office maintains the policies in the Academic Catalog.

- Faculty are responsible for the curriculum.
  - All proposals to reform either the curriculum (e.g. requirements, number of requirements, course restructuring, etc.) or a single course (e.g., name change, course description), must be submitted to the Academic Curriculum Committee (ACC). In addition to faculty, the committee membership also includes the academic dean, registrar, director of Academic Advising, and the Libraries as ex-officio members. The committee reviews curricular and course changes, in some instances working with the Academic Planning and Budget Committee (APBC) on the cost impact of selected changes. ACC has the authority to approve or reject proposals it considers. Additions and/or deletions of programs, majors, minors must go through the ACC and then to the JFS for approval prior to final board of trustee action.
  - Faculty seeking to teach general education courses must go through an approval process led by the Common Curriculum Committee (CCC). The CCC may approve or reject a course proposal. Changes to academic learning outcomes or requirements for the Common Curriculum (CC) must be approved by the Joint Faculty Senate. A faculty member seeking a change to a learning outcome that is part of the CC must bring the modification to the CCC for review. The CCC, upon endorsement, would bring the change to the JFS for final review and approval.

Although led by the faculty, the process of setting academic requirements, policy and processes ultimately is a collaborative process involving faculty, administrators, staff, students and trustees.
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5.C - Core Component 5.C

The institution engages in systematic and integrated planning.

1. The institution allocates its resources in alignment with its mission and priorities.
2. The institution links its processes for assessment of student learning, evaluation of operations, planning, and budgeting.
3. The planning process encompasses the institution as a whole and considers the perspectives of internal and external constituent groups.
4. The institution plans on the basis of a sound understanding of its current capacity. Institutional plans anticipate the possible impact of fluctuations in the institution’s sources of revenue, such as enrollment, the economy, and state support.
5. Institutional planning anticipates emerging factors, such as technology, demographic shifts, and globalization.

Argument

5.C.1 Mission-Resource Alignment

The college uses SD2020 to guide resource allocation decisions. The development of SD2020 included a conscious effort to link the plan to the institutional budget process. As part of the goal, Shared Future, Sustainable Future, CSB and SJU developed an economic model designed to balance long-run revenues and expenses. The model defines the primary financial "levers" we must consider when making decisions and clearly indicates how changes in individual levers or metric (enrollment, for example) impact both short-term and long-term financial outlook of the college. The economic model was built around a set guiding principles that were reviewed by both the CSB and SJU boards of trustees in November 2015. The goals included a plan that could reduce expenses in mission-appropriate ways that would support the long-term sustainability of CSB and SJU. The metrics and the goals are reviewed regularly.

We produce a narrative guide each year to explicitly address the connection of the budget to the college’s mission and priorities. The Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018 versions specifically link the budget decisions to SD2020 priorities.

We also annually prepare a report describing progress toward the goals and objectives outlined in SD2020. We share the report with both the Strategic Directions Council and the Board of Trustees. The May 2017 version clearly outlines the progress toward goals outlined in SD2020 and identifies resource allocation decisions that have been made in support of that work. Similarly, the SD2015 Summary document identified numerous instances of resource allocation decisions that were made as a result of the priorities and goals included in that plan (the predecessor plan to SD2020).

The practices cited above ensure that resource allocations are aligned with the mission and priorities of the college.

5.C.2 Linking Learning, Operations, Planning and Budgeting

The ongoing assessment and evaluation of SD2020 is directly linked to our planning and budgeting process. The annual progress reports for the plan identify instances where resources are required or
have been dedicated to achieve goals. The First-Year Experience (FYX) provides an example of how we have linked planning and budgeting. FYX was an important component in the SD2020 plan. The Gardner Institute assessment supporting development of a comprehensive First-Year Experience outlined next steps and resources needed to support this program. In FY18, we allocated $50,000 to development of FYX. Similarly, there have been considerable investments in the general education revision. That work is ongoing and the institutions are committed to budgetary support for the curriculum revision.

As outlined in 4.A.1, departmental program reviews and annual reports are an essential part of the assessment and evaluation process. The program review process informs resource allocation within departments and are one source referenced by the provost as Academic Affairs makes faculty hiring decisions.

In addition, the institutions have a shared budget analyst who reports directly to the provost and to the chief financial officers at both institutions. The budget analyst works with the provost and the CFOs on all things budget related within the academic operation. The reporting structure of the position ensures a direct link between Academic Affairs and institutional budgeting and finances.

5.C.3 Planning Process

*Strategic Directions 2020* resulted from a collaborative process incorporating perspectives of numerous constituent groups, including trustees, faculty, staff, students and alumnae. The Strategic Directions Council initiated the planning process with a broad *environmental scan white paper* articulating the key issues influencing the future of the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John’s University. It shared the environmental scan with trustees, faculty and staff. We kept the campus community appraised of the plan as it developed through a dedicated web page for *Strategic Directions 2020*. The website served two broad purposes: it provided a digital space to post and share the input we gathered during the planning process and it offered a link to provide people with an opportunity to submit comments or questions about the plan or supporting materials. We ultimately received a great deal of input from both internal and external constituent groups:

- We hosted one all-campus forum and nine campus conversations. All faculty and staff were invited to participate in those activities. Approximately 550 faculty and staff (more than half our workforce) participated in one or more those events.
- Both Student Senates were engaged by the presidents and again by the Student Development divisions. In addition, we also engaged CSB’s Institute for Women’s Leadership.
- All divisions conducted independent planning sessions throughout the fall and into the winter. Those typically were held as part of regularly scheduled divisional or departmental meetings.
- Both presidents engaged their respective monastic communities at Chapter meetings. At, CSB, the Sisters of the Order of Saint Benedict participated in a lengthy structured planning exercise. At SJU, members of Saint John’s Abbey also participated in a more limited planning exercise. Both the prioress and the abbot were invited to prepare an assessment of planning priorities on behalf of their communities — which both did (together) in spring 2015.
- CSB hosted five alumnae listening sessions around the state and country attended by approximately 150 people. In addition, CSB also hosted a listening session with St. Cloud community and business leaders. Approximately 60 people attended and participated in the St. Cloud event. SJU held one alumni listening session in Minneapolis that was attended by 30 people.
- We received 161 unique suggestions of planning priorities for consideration for inclusion in SD2020 from faculty and staff, approximately half from faculty alone.
The Board of Trustees was actively involved in the development of the plan. The committees of the board each had an opportunity to provide input at various points during the process and presentations were made to the full board throughout the process. The Board of Trustees provided feedback on a draft of the plan in February 2015 that led to the construction of the final plan. The plan was ultimately presented to and endorsed by the Board of Trustees in May 2015.

5.C.4 Institutional Planning Reflects Environmental Changes

The economic model makes clear the impact of shifting revenue streams on our expense structure. In fall 2015, we shared the key drivers of the institution's finances with campus leaders and the Board of Trustees. We subsequently updated those drivers and shared the results with the Finance Committee of the board in September 2017. The economic model is a living document updated with each term's tenth-day enrollment and tuition discounting values. Here is an example of the update that was included in the November 2017 finance committee materials. This iteration also included a more detailed sensitivity analysis to provide stakeholders a better sense of the financial impact of specific changes in key revenue and expense drivers.

In addition to the long-range plan, the institution has adopted a budget revision plan that outlines the process by which we will address a budget shortfall or surplus during any given year.

5.C.5 Environmental Scanning

Each year, the Strategic Directions Council prepares an environmental scan identifying issues reshaping our operating landscape. Those scans encompass market, mission, and management/operating issues. Each year, the environmental scan seeks to identify key issues external or internal to CSB and SJU that influence the goals and objectives of Strategic Directions 2020. A special version of the scan was developed specifically to guide the SD2020 campus conversations. The most recent version of the scan was completed and shared with the Board of Trustees in November 2016. The 2016 environmental scan offers a lens through which the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John’s University communities can continue to plan for a successful future that prepares our students for active participation and leadership in a modern world.
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5.D - Core Component 5.D

The institution works systematically to improve its performance.

1. The institution develops and documents evidence of performance in its operations.
2. The institution learns from its operational experience and applies that learning to improve its institutional effectiveness, capabilities, and sustainability, overall and in its component parts.

Argument

5.D.1 Operational Performance

The college regularly produces and shares evidence of performance in its operations to both internal and external constituencies. Each year, CSB undergoes an annual audit review that must be approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees and later reviewed by the full Board of Trustees. A summary of the audit, along with a copy of the financial statements, is posted to the Business Office website and is available to any interested party. In addition to the annual audit, the Finance Committee of the Board of Trustees also examines a ratio review comparing key financial indicators for CSB to other members of the Minnesota Private College Council. The ratio review provides trustees with a high-level understanding of the college's financial strengths and weaknesses relative to peers. The cabinet, as well as Strategic Directions Council, also examine the financial results for the institution.

The college most recently had its bond rating reviewed by Moody's in December 2017. In the credit opinion, Moody's clearly documented performance in the college's operations, including favorable operating results that result from a culture of fiscal discipline.

Enrollment is the primary driver of revenue for the college. Consequently, we closely monitor new student enrollment and financial aid discount rates and values throughout the year. During the admission yield cycle (typically March through August), the Admission and Financial Aid division produces and distributes a weekly report tracking first year and transfer applications, admissions and deposits. The report is widely shared across campus to make departments aware of progress toward the enrollment goal. We develop and monitor our financial aid strategy in conjunction with Applied Policy Research (APR), a national enrollment management firm with whom we have worked since the early 1990s. In addition to tracking reports developed regularly during the spring and summer, APR provides a full report each fall after tenth-day census. The Office of Institutional Planning and Research prepares an annual fall enrollment summary that is distributed to the senior leadership team and posted on SharePoint for the community. Strategies for subsequent years are informed by the findings of these reports.

Strategic Directions 2020 includes measurable performance metrics that are regularly monitored. The First-Year Experience provides an example of programmatic addition and improvements we have made that are intended to enhance student success and retention.

In addition to the operational performance indicators we track in the areas cited above, the Office of Institutional Planning and Research annually prepares and updates an Institutional Profile. The Institutional Profile is the official fact book for the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University. It was created to provide a multiyear snapshot and briefing of key data and trends related
to admission and enrollment, academic activity and productivity, and human and financial resources. The Profile addresses questions frequently asked about the College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University and provides, in one compendium, macro-level data for planning, decision-making, fundraising and communication. Information in the Institutional Profile is presented in six chapters: admission and new entering student data, undergraduate student data, academic and instructional data, alumni data, financial and human resource data and private college comparison data.

5.D.2 The Institution Learns from its Operational Experience

The college regularly acts to improve based on the results of regular monitoring and tracking outlined in 5.D.1.

Regular monitoring of enrollment and discounting data has allowed us to feel confident in our ability to meet the goals outlined in the long-term financial plan included in SD2020. For example, in fall 2015 the college realized it was not prudent to continue budgeting for an incoming class of 525 new students. Our enrollment experience and market scanning indicated the target was too high. In FY17 (fall 2016), we lowered the goal to 510, which has proved to be a wise choice. By having a model available to measure the anticipated impact of this shift on the institution's resource base, the institution was able to plan in a way that would still result in a balanced budget.

The board Finance Committee recently reviewed a white paper on reserves and financial resources to evaluate the college’s long-term financial sustainability. Likewise, the Building and Grounds Committee, together with the Finance Committee, discussed capital funding and long-term sustainability. These discussions inform both short- and long-term financial decisions.

Administrative units across campus go through program review processes similar to those in academic departments. Recent administrative program reviews that have resulted in significant operational change include the offices of Information Technology Services (ITS), Experiential Learning and Community Engagement (ELCE), Career Services and the Libraries.

An external review of ITS operations resulted in a renewed focus on the academic mission of the department. ITS regularly assesses the software and hardware systems at CSB/SJU, as well as the staffing required to support those tools and systems. The assessment from the external consultant, included recommendations about staffing levels, organizational structure, and software systems that could be rearranged to create efficiencies and improve resource usage. ITS also utilizes SD2020 to influence their internal strategic planning and budget allocation. For example, as part of previous needs assessment work, we learned that faculty and students needed a more modernized Learning Management System (LMS). ITS subsequently re-aligned funds to enable the purchase of a new LMS (Canvas) better aligned with the needs of the CSB/SJU campuses.

Both ELCE and Career Services underwent program review in 2016. Those reviews provided opportunities for improved services. Both departments concluded that students would be better served if they combined their operations. For example, credit-bearing internships were overseen by ELCE while non-credit-bearing internships were overseen by Career Services. The two departments were combined in early 2017 and now operate under a newly defined department named Office of Experience and Professional Development (XPD). We believe the new configuration will provide students with a more seamless experience when interacting with these offices. It also represents the first step toward the goal of establishing a Student Success Center that would include XPD, Academic Advising and several other academic support departments.

The CSB/SJU Libraries regularly examines their operational experience and makes change to improve
service based on those findings. Several examples illustrate their commitment to continuous improvement:

- A [2013 Library Service Analysis](#) indicated some patron dissatisfaction with the library website. The director of Libraries dedicated resources for a usability studies which resulted in improvements and refinements in the website. The Libraries continue to allocate resources to improve this essential service.
- They routinely conduct usage analyses, including cost per use ratio, for all electronic resources at renewal. While this represents only one aspect of collections decision-making, they do not renew low-use, expensive subscriptions.
- Library staff survey faculty and students on a semi-regular basis to inquire about their preferences for print or electronic books. They allocate resources to print or electronic budgets based on what they learn from those surveys.
- Library staff conducted a survey of student social media use to determine how best to communicate with students, ultimately reallocating marketing resources based on those findings.

**Sources**

- AA_LibraryServiceAnalysisSurvey_2013
- CSB_Finance_Auditors Ratio Presentation
- CSB_Finance_FY17 Audited Financial Statements
- CSB_Finance_Investment in Facilities Whitepaper
- CSB_Finance_IT_ServicesExternalReviewerReport-2017
- CSB_Finance_Moodys Credit Opinion_2017
- CSB_Finance_Reserves and Financial Resources
- CSB_Finance_Sus2020_ProcessAndTimeline
- Enrollment_APR Discount & Yield Tracking-2017.06.12
- Enrollment_CSBLibraryServiceAnalysisSurvey_2013
- Enrollment_FallEnrollmentSummary_2016
- Enrollment_WeeklyAdmissionUpdate-2017.08.25
- IR_InstitutionalProfile-2017
- SD 2020 Outcome Metrics.pdf
5.S - Criterion 5 - Summary

The institution’s resources, structures, and processes are sufficient to fulfill its mission, improve the quality of its educational offerings, and respond to future challenges and opportunities. The institution plans for the future.

Summary

As supported by the evidence for the individual core components, the college meets the expectations specified in Criteria 5. The College of Saint Benedict operates in a way that ensures the institution's resource base supports the educational program and mission. There are structures in place that promote effective leadership and support collaborative processes. Not only does the institution operate effectively in the here and now, there is always an eye toward the future. There is a culture of systematic and integrated planning that ensures emerging factors in the world generally and higher education specifically are considered as the college charts its course into the future. Throughout all of this planning, the institution is systematically working to improve its performance.

Sources

There are no sources.